

Research Design for Digital Consumerism and Compulsive Buying in Families

Posted on October 7, 2026

View this essay online:

<https://academic-master.com/digital-consumerism-compulsive-family-buying-research-design/>

Introduction

The Digital Age has changed consumer behaviour by expanding access to [online purchasing platforms](#) and altering the traditional retail environment. This research design examines the relationship between digital consumerism and compulsive buying within families. It combines quantitative measures of online shopping and buying behaviour with qualitative accounts of family experiences. Its purpose is to connect theoretical explanations of consumer behaviour with a practical method for examining online access, social media exposure, family communication and shopping as emotional coping. The article presents a proposed methodology and its limitations; it does not report completed data collection, tested hypotheses or empirical findings.

Scope of the Study

This study begins a thorough investigation of the connection between the consumerism of the Digital Age and compulsive buying habits in families, concentrating on the impact of internet shopping. The study spans a wide geographic range in an effort to document cultural variances in consumer behaviour. Participants will be drawn from a range of demographic backgrounds, including those with different ages, income levels, and family setups, in order to reveal a deeper knowledge of these factors. The proposed scope includes a five-year time frame; the exact study period has not been specified. Numerous online purchasing platforms, from well-known e-commerce companies to specialised niche markets, will be examined. We will analyse the familial framework, including nuclear and extended families, while taking into account the many types of households that are common in society. The research uses an interdisciplinary methodology and draws on psychology, sociology, marketing, and technology to offer a comprehensive viewpoint. The research is aware of its limitations, such as those caused by the technology's rapid advancement and possible self-reporting biases, which may restrict the generalizability of results. Through this scope, the study hopes to offer new insights into the complex dynamics of consumerism in the Digital Age and how they affect compulsive purchase behaviour within the family.

Objectives

To delve further into the general patterns and traits of consumer behaviour in the digital age, with an emphasis on the growth and popularity of online shopping.

To measure the degree to which households engage in digital consumerism, to ascertain the frequency, length, and depth of participation with online purchasing platforms among families.

To examine the subtleties of compulsive purchasing behaviour within the context of the family, attempting to comprehend the catalysts, motives, and psychological foundations that cause people to make impulsive purchases.

To research the variables, such as [marketing tactics](#), user interface design, and the variety of goods and services on offer, that affect how internet shopping affects compulsive buying in families.

Research Questions

With regard to online buying in particular, what are the main trends and traits of consumer behaviour in the digital age?

How frequently, for how long, and in what ways do families use online shopping sites, and how much does this use affect the general prevalence of digital consumerism in households?

In the age of internet shopping, what psychological factors, triggers, and reasons underlie compulsive purchase behaviour in the setting of the family?

Research Hypotheses

Hypothesis 1 (H1): Increased accessibility to online shopping platforms is positively associated with compulsive buying behavior in families.

Hypothesis 2 (H2): Increased exposure to social media, where consumption is often showcased, is positively associated with compulsive buying behavior in families.

Hypothesis 3 (H3): Poor communication and lack of discussions about online purchases within the family are positively associated with compulsive buying behavior.

Hypothesis 4 (H4): Using online shopping as a coping mechanism for stress and emotional gratification is positively associated with compulsive buying behavior in families.

Research Methodology

Research Design

A mixed-methods approach is proposed to examine the many facets of compulsive buying in the digital age. The quantitative component will examine patterns and associations through a survey. The qualitative component will document the perspectives and experiences of families navigating the online retail environment through in-depth interviews and focus group discussions. These complementary accounts can help explain the subjective narratives underlying compulsive purchasing within the familial unit.

Sampling Method

The proposed investigation uses non-probability convenience sampling. Participants will be selected because they are accessible and willing to participate. This approach can reduce recruitment costs and time, but it does not ensure that the sample represents all online shoppers or families. Differences between accessible participants and the wider population must be considered when interpreting the eventual findings.

Convenience Sampling

Instead of choosing participants randomly or systematically, convenience sampling is a non-probability sampling methodology where researchers choose participants based on their proximity and ease of accessing. Due of its usefulness and simplicity, especially when time and resources are limited, this strategy is frequently utilised. Convenient for both the researcher and the participants, convenience sampling involves selecting participants based on their availability and desire to participate in the study [1]. Convenience samples are sometimes referred to as “accidental samples” since some of the sample’s constituents may have been chosen at random if they had been administratively or geographically close to the site where the researcher was collecting data. Convenience sampling is a typical method for gathering environmental data; in such a case, data might be gathered along a utility corridor, a road, or a trail and are therefore not representative of the population of interest. Other examples of convenience sampling include data collected at random near a camp, close to parking lots, or in an area where many people are congregated. Biologists frequently utilise convenience sampling because it is simpler to do it in the field than to walk along a road and sometimes stop to gather data.

Instead of generating formal inductive conclusions about the population of interest, convenience sampling data can only be used to make shaky deductions about the sample’s own characteristics. The statement that “captive participants such as students in the researcher’s own institution are the main examples of convenience sampling” is developed. Convenience sampling is affordable, simple,

and the subjects are frequently available. The sample's potential differences from a representative probability sample must be explained by the researcher. Additionally, it is vital to include the subjects who could be excluded from consideration during the selection process or the subjects who are overrepresented in the sample.

Sampling Unit

The proposed target is 352 valid responses from people who shop online. The source identifies online customers who make purchases and visits as the target respondents, but supplies no sample-size calculation, population frame, power analysis or assumptions establishing 352 as an ideal sample. The number is therefore retained as a planned recruitment target. SPSS can support statistical analysis, but mentioning software does not establish the adequacy of the sample size.

Sampling and Quantitative Analysis

Recruitment and analysis are distinct stages. Convenience sampling will determine which eligible online shoppers participate, while quantitative analysis will examine their numerical responses. Primary survey data and secondary literature will support the investigation. Selecting participants with relevant shopping experience can help address the research questions, but such selection remains non-probability recruitment and does not eliminate sampling bias.

Quantitative Analysis

The methodical collection, examination, and interpretation of numerical data in a variety of academic areas is known as quantitative analysis. This strategy is used by researchers to glean patterns, connections, and trends from datasets while using statistical methods to interpret numerical findings. Data is gathered by controlled surveys, experiments, or observational studies, with an emphasis on getting numerical replies. The main components of the analysis are variables, which may be classified as dependent or independent. Operational definitions of the variables are essential for assuring measurement consistency and accuracy. Descriptive statistics, such measures of central tendency and dispersion, provide information on the major characteristics of the dataset, but inferential statistics allow researchers to draw larger conclusions about populations from samples. For conducting complicated studies, statistical software like SPSS or Python is frequently used, while data visualisation tools help in presenting the results graphically. The organisation of studies for efficient quantitative analysis depends critically on the use of research types, including experimental and survey approaches. It is crucial to ensure the validity and reliability of measuring methods, and expanding the application of quantitative research findings by considering whether results can be generalized from the sample to a wider population. Overall, quantitative analysis provides a solid foundation for drawing conclusions based on data and making defensible judgements in a variety of domains.

Data Collection

Semi-structured interviews will explore personal narratives about compulsive buying and its perceived triggers. The survey instrument will quantify patterns of online purchasing and the proposed variables. Combining these sources can provide complementary perspectives, although convenience sampling and self-reporting limit the generalizability of the findings.

Study Variables

The characteristics of things, events, entities, and beings that may be measured are referred to as variables. Therefore, variables are the traits or circumstances that the researcher observes, modifies, or controls. The purpose of a research question is to investigate the connection between two or more variables. A research hypothesis is developed to specify the anticipated relationship between the independent and dependent variables in order to predict the expected response to the research question.

Independent Variables

Independent variables are explanatory or predictor variables whose relationships with an outcome are examined. In this proposed observational design they are measured rather than experimentally manipulated. The predictors below correspond to the four research hypotheses; an association with the outcome would not by itself establish a causal effect.

Independent variables used in the study

Accessibility to online shopping platforms

Exposure to social media

Communication quality within the family regarding online purchases

Use of online shopping for emotional gratification or stress coping

Dependent Variable

The dependent variable is the outcome examined in relation to the proposed predictors. Here it is compulsive buying behaviour in families. The research design seeks to measure this outcome and its associations with online access, social media exposure, family communication and emotional coping; it does not assume that an experimental treatment has been administered.

Dependent variable used in the study

Compulsive buying behavior in families

Data Analysis

The proposed statistical tools are [descriptive statistics](#), t-tests where suitable group comparisons are defined, and correlation analysis for relationships between measured variables. Survey responses will be organized into tables before analysis. The suitability of each test depends on the eventual measurement scales, distributions and research question. These are planned analyses, not results or evidence that the hypotheses have already been supported.

t-Test

The t-test is a popular statistical technique for assessing if there is a significant difference between the means of two groups [2]. It functions as a parametric test, assuming that the relevant errors or sampling distribution satisfy its assumptions. Treatment of ordinal measurements requires additional care [3]. It is a crucial tool in statistical analysis because of its extensive application across several disciplines, including psychology, medicine, and economics. The t-distribution, a probability distribution similar to the normal distribution but designed for circumstances with lower sample numbers, where higher uncertainty is inherent, is where the name of the t-test originates. A standardised metric used to assess the discrepancy between sample averages and within-group variability is the test statistic (t).

Researchers and analysts regularly utilise the t-test to inform decisions and hypotheses in a variety of domains in order to systematically examine whether observed differences are statistically significant. It is crucial to acknowledge and take into account key principles such as normality and homogeneity of variance in order to assure the validity and reliability of the results.

Descriptive Statistics

The most significant features of a dataset are highlighted and displayed in descriptive statistics, a core branch of statistical research. By using measures of central tendency, such as the mean, median, and mode, it provides a general understanding of the usual or centre value of the data. Examples of dispersion measurements that reveal details about the spread or variability of the dataset are range, variance, and standard deviation [4]. Descriptive statistics also examine the distribution's form by using metrics like skewness and kurtosis, which show whether the data is symmetric or has tails. The frequency distribution of the dataset may be more clearly understood by using visual tools like histograms and bar charts. Percentiles are a useful tool for locating certain data points below which a particular percentage of observations fall. Measures of association, such as correlation coefficients, which quantify links between variables, are also included in descriptive statistics. Descriptive statistics, in general, serve a key role in streamlining complicated information,

making them more accessible, and promoting well-informed decision-making in a variety of sectors.

Correlation Analysis

The strength and direction of a linear relationship between two variables are expressed using a statistical method known as a correlation test [5]. It evaluates whether and how much variations in one variable correlate to variations in the other. One common correlation coefficient that is utilised is **Pearson's correlation coefficient** (r), which has a range of -1 to 1. In the case of a positive correlation, the two variables tend to increase or fall together when the value is positive. Contrarily, a negative value indicates a negative correlation, in which one variable tends to increase as the other decreases.

In order to do a correlation test in statistical software like SPSS, one would normally pick the relevant correlation analysis and the relevant variables of interest. The output includes the correlation coefficient and a p-value, which denotes the significance of the observed correlation in terms of statistics. A small p-value (usually less than 0.05) denotes a statistically significant association under the test assumptions.

It's vital to keep in mind that association does not prove cause and effect. Even though two variables are related, it does not follow that changes in one must cause changes in the other. Furthermore, as correlation coefficients only record linear correlations, they may not adequately represent non-linear interactions.

Spearman's rank correlation or Kendall's tau may be appropriate for ordinal measurements or when Pearson's assumptions are unsuitable. These alternatives describe monotonic or rank-based association rather than assuming that all relationships are linear. Test choice should follow the measurement properties and distribution of the collected data.

Limitations

The proposed design has the following limitations.

If the participants are not typical of the general community, sampling bias may affect the results of the research. For instance, the results could not apply to people who are less accustomed to online shopping if the sample is predominantly made up of people with high levels of internet literacy.

Relying solely on self-reported data might cause problems with response accuracy. Participants may overreport or underreport their propensity for compulsive purchasing and internet shopping, which might skew the study's findings.

Consumer behaviour and online buying trends are always changing, which might be difficult. As digital platforms develop, the study may soon become out of date, making its conclusions less useful to potential customer behaviour.

It may be difficult to prove a link between compulsive buying behaviour and internet shopping. The study may show a connection, but proving causality would need a larger, more carefully controlled study, which may be outside the purview of this study.

Cultural differences in views towards internet buying and consumer behaviour may prevent the study's conclusions from being globally applicable. The findings may not adequately account for cultural variations that might have a substantial influence on the association between internet shopping and compulsive buying.

The complexity of family relationships and how they affect compulsive buying behaviour may not be thoroughly explored in the research. The depth of understanding may be limited by factors including family structure, communication styles, and socioeconomic situation within families that may not be appropriately addressed.

Conclusion

The proposed design combines surveys, interviews and focus groups to examine digital consumerism and compulsive buying in families. Its four hypotheses connect buying behaviour with online access, social media exposure, family communication and emotional coping. Convenience sampling makes recruitment practical but limits representativeness; self-reporting, cultural variation and changing platforms also constrain interpretation. Descriptive statistics and suitable association tests can organize the eventual evidence, but they cannot establish causality on their own. The proposal therefore offers a methodological starting point rather than empirical proof of the relationships it seeks to investigate.

References

- [1] Jager, J., Putnick, D.L. and Bornstein, M.H., 2017. II. More than just convenient: The scientific merits of homogeneous convenience samples. *Monographs of the Society for Research in Child Development*, 82(2), pp.13-30.
- [2] Mishra, P., Singh, U., Pandey, C.M., Mishra, P. and Pandey, G., 2019. Application of student's t-test, analysis of variance, and covariance. *Annals of cardiac anaesthesia*, 22(4), p.407.
- [3] Robitzsch, A., 2020, October. Why ordinal variables can (almost) always be treated as continuous variables: Clarifying assumptions of robust continuous and ordinal factor analysis estimation methods. In *Frontiers in education* (Vol. 5, p. 589965). Frontiers Media SA.
- [4] Mishra, P., Pandey, C.M., Singh, U., Gupta, A., Sahu, C. and Keshri, A., 2019. Descriptive statistics and normality tests for statistical data. *Annals of cardiac anaesthesia*, 22(1), p.67.

[5] Jebli, I., Belouadha, F.Z., Kabbaj, M.I. and Tilioua, A., 2021. Prediction of solar energy guided by pearson correlation using machine learning. *Energy*, 224, p.120109.