Differences Between Humans Animals and Artificial Intelligence

Posted on July 28, 2022

Introduction

The question “What makes us different?” can refer to differences between humans and other animals, humans and machines, or one human community and another. The original essay focuses on humans, animals, artificial intelligence, and the purpose of life. It correctly recognizes that any answer depends on the dimension being compared. It is inaccurate, however, to claim that humans are the only conscious species, that nonhuman animals lack complex social relationships, or that current machines are simply inferior to humans in every form of memory and decision-making (Herrmann et al., 2007).

Human beings are animals produced by evolution and share perception, emotion, learning, communication, cooperation, and social attachment with many species. Our distinctiveness appears less as one magical ability than as a combination of language, cumulative culture, shared intentionality, symbolic institutions, long childhood, technology, and large-scale cooperation. Machines differ because they are designed artifacts whose capabilities depend on human-created objectives, data, infrastructure, and use. This essay explores continuity and difference without assuming that difference grants unlimited moral superiority.

Humans Are Animals

Biologically, humans belong to the primate order and the great-ape family. Our bodies, brains, development, and behavior show evolutionary continuity. The human hand, eye, stress response, attachment system, and capacity to learn did not appear outside nature.

This continuity corrects the idea that “animal” is the opposite of “human.” The meaningful comparison is between human animals and nonhuman animals. Recognizing kinship does not erase distinctive abilities; it places them within evolution and encourages careful evidence rather than pride-based assumptions.

Animal Consciousness

Consciousness refers broadly to subjective experience—there being something it feels like to perceive, suffer, or act. Because another being’s experience cannot be observed directly, scientists use behavior, nervous systems, learning, flexible response, and evolutionary relationships as

evidence.

Research does not support the statement that humans are the only conscious species. Many mammals and birds show integrated perception, emotion, pain behavior, flexible learning, and goal-directed action. Scientific debate concerns which species possess which dimensions and how experience differs, not whether every nonhuman organism is an unconscious machine.

Humility is appropriate. We should avoid projecting human feelings onto every behavior, but uncertainty should not be used as an excuse to ignore animal welfare.

Cognition Across Species

Nonhuman animals solve problems, remember locations, recognize individuals, use tools, communicate, deceive, cooperate, and adapt. Corvids cache food and respond to social information. Primates learn relationships and use tools. Cetaceans maintain complex social bonds, while elephants show long memory and coordinated behavior.

No single ranking captures cognition. A bird may outperform a human on a species-relevant spatial task, while humans dominate symbolic mathematics. Evolution produces abilities suited to environments rather than one ladder with humanity at the top.

Language

Many species communicate, but human language combines open-ended vocabulary, syntax, displacement, recursion, and cultural learning at an unusual scale. People discuss absent events, imaginary worlds, laws, mathematical objects, and possible futures. Children acquire language through interaction during a long developmental period.

Language is not merely a tool for reporting completed thought. It helps organize memory, identity, categories, and joint planning. Writing extends communication across centuries and allows institutions to accumulate knowledge.

Animal communication research complicates any absolute boundary. Some species learn symbols, calls can carry specific information, and communication systems may be more flexible than earlier researchers assumed. Human language remains distinctive in degree and integration, not because all other communication is meaningless.

Cumulative Culture

Culture exists in nonhuman species when behavior is socially learned and transmitted. Human culture is exceptional in its cumulative character: innovations are preserved, modified, recombined, and

taught so that later generations begin from an inherited platform.

No individual could independently invent modern agriculture, medicine, computing, or law. Human achievement depends on networks of previous knowledge. This observation limits the myth of the isolated genius. Our distinctiveness is collective.

Shared Intentionality and Cooperation

Humans cooperate in large groups with unrelated people through shared goals, roles, rules, and expectations. We can understand that “we are doing this together” and assign complementary responsibilities. Institutions such as schools, markets, courts, religions, and governments depend on shared recognition.

Other animals cooperate, sometimes with remarkable coordination. Human cooperation differs in scale, symbolic organization, and the ability to create obligations that exist because communities recognize them. Money, citizenship, offices, and contracts are socially constructed but have real consequences.

Moral Norms

Humans explicitly debate what ought to be done. We create moral language, justify rules, criticize customs, and extend concern beyond immediate kin. Empathy and fairness have evolutionary roots, but moral systems become symbolic and institutional.

This capacity does not mean humans behave morally. Genocide, slavery, cruelty, and exploitation are also organized through language and institutions. The ability to justify action can support conscience or rationalization. Human distinctiveness includes responsibility for systems we create.

Self-Consciousness and Narrative Identity

Humans form extended narratives about who they were, are, and may become. Autobiographical memory, social feedback, and cultural stories create identity. People can evaluate their own motives and imagine how others view them.

Some animals show forms of self-recognition and metacognitive behavior, so reflective awareness is not necessarily all-or-nothing. Human narrative identity is unusually elaborate because language connects memory with moral responsibility and future plans.

Childhood and Learning

Human childhood is long and dependent. This creates vulnerability but also time for learning language, norms, tools, and relationships. Adults organize environments for teaching, imitation, play, and guided participation.

Human flexibility depends on this social development. A brain does not express a complete universal program by itself; culture shapes which skills are practiced and valued. Differences among people are therefore not evidence of separate human kinds. They reflect intertwined biology, history, environment, and opportunity (Tomasello, 2014).

Transforming the Environment

Humans transform ecosystems at planetary scale through agriculture, cities, industry, energy, and extraction. The original essay treats this power as evidence that humans “control” animals. Control is incomplete. Disease, climate, ecological feedback, and animal agency repeatedly show limits.

Technological power creates duty. The ability to alter habitats does not prove a right to destroy them. Intelligence should be judged partly by whether it can recognize dependence and restrain harmful action.

Humans and Machines

A machine is an artifact organized to perform functions. Some machines convert energy mechanically; computers manipulate representations according to programs and learned parameters. Artificial intelligence refers to systems that perform tasks associated with prediction, perception, language, planning, or decision support (Guzman & Lewis, 2020).

The phrase “mechanical life” is misleading. Current machines are not generally classified as living organisms. They do not maintain themselves through biological metabolism, grow through cell processes, or reproduce through genetic inheritance. Automated factories can produce components and other machines, so it is also too absolute to say machines can never participate in making machines. The distinction is about autonomous biological life, not mere production.

AI Capabilities

AI systems can search large datasets, recognize patterns, generate language and images, optimize routes, and outperform humans on narrowly defined tasks. Computer memory can preserve exact digital information at scales and speeds unavailable to individuals. It is therefore inaccurate to claim

that humans are simply superior in memory or calculation.

Human beings remain better at many forms of contextual judgment, embodied adaptation, moral responsibility, relationship, and flexible transfer, although performance depends on the task. AI capabilities result from human design, training data, hardware, energy, evaluation, and deployment. Apparent autonomy sits within a larger socio-technical system.

Understanding and Consciousness in AI

Current AI can produce convincing language without establishing that it has subjective experience. Fluent output encourages anthropomorphism because humans naturally infer minds from conversation. There is no accepted scientific test demonstrating that contemporary language models are conscious.

Uncertainty should be expressed carefully. It is unjustified to declare that machine consciousness is permanently impossible, but it is equally unjustified to treat simulated emotion as proof of feeling. Claims should depend on evidence and theory rather than marketing or fear.

Embodiment

Human intelligence develops through a body that feels pain, hunger, fatigue, movement, and social touch. Perception is connected with action and survival. Machines may have sensors and robotic bodies, but their relation to embodiment differs because goals, rewards, and maintenance are designed or managed externally.

Embodiment matters for meaning. A word such as “hot” connects human language with bodily history. AI systems learn statistical relations in data and may connect them with sensors, yet whether this constitutes understanding is a philosophical and scientific question.

Emotion and Empathy

Emotions organize attention, motivation, memory, and relationship. They are not merely obstacles to rational thought. Empathy involves recognizing another’s state and responding, but it can be biased toward familiar people.

Machines can detect signals, model preferences, and generate empathic wording. Such behavior may be useful in support systems, but users should know that generated concern is not evidence of felt concern. Human professionals remain responsible for care decisions and consequences.

Free Will and Agency

The original essay lists free will as a definite human-machine difference, but free will is philosophically disputed even for humans. Human actions are influenced by biology, history, habit, and environment. Compatibilists define freedom through acting from reasons without coercion, while other theories require stronger alternative possibilities.

AI systems also act within constraints, but responsibility currently belongs primarily to designers, deployers, institutions, and users. Calling a system “autonomous” should not allow organizations to escape accountability for foreseeable harm.

Bias and Human-Machine Systems

AI is sometimes described as objective because it uses mathematics. NIST’s AI Risk Management Framework emphasizes that AI systems are socio-technical and can reflect computational, human, and systemic bias. Data records unequal societies, objectives prioritize some outcomes, and users interpret outputs (National Institute of Standards and Technology).

The important comparison is not human versus machine in isolation. Many decisions are made by human-machine teams. Design should define roles, oversight, appeal, transparency, and conditions under which automation is inappropriate.

Moral Status

Humans and many animals receive moral concern because they can be harmed, possess interests, form relationships, or have conscious experiences. Machines are currently protected mainly as property and because damaging them affects people or systems.

If future evidence supported machine consciousness, moral questions would change. Capability alone would not settle status: a calculator can outperform a person at arithmetic without having interests. Experience, vulnerability, agency, and relationship would require examination.

Differences Among Humans

The title also invites reflection on human diversity. People differ in language, culture, ability, religion, gender, history, personality, and opportunity. These differences do not divide humanity into superior and inferior types.

Claims about what “makes us human” can exclude disabled people if they require one level of speech, reasoning, independence, or productivity. Human dignity should not depend on passing a

cognitive test. A species-level description must not become a condition for individual worth.

The Purpose of Life

Science explains aspects of how human life evolved and functions; it does not by itself decide what every person ought to live for. Religious traditions may understand life as creation, test, vocation, worship, or preparation. Secular philosophies may locate meaning in relationships, projects, knowledge, justice, or experience.

Purpose can be received, discovered, created, or combined depending on worldview. Philosophical disagreement does not make the question useless. It shows that purpose is connected with values and commitment, not one laboratory measurement.

A responsible life purpose should be examined through consequences. A claimed purpose that treats other beings as disposable deserves criticism, regardless of how strongly it is believed.

Conclusion

Humans differ from other animals through an unusual combination of symbolic language, cumulative culture, shared intentionality, institutions, narrative identity, long development, and planetary technology. These features developed from capacities shared in varying forms with other species. Humans are not the only beings with learning, emotion, social bonds, communication, or plausible conscious experience.

Machines differ because current AI systems are designed socio-technical artifacts rather than biological organisms. They can exceed human performance in selected tasks while lacking established evidence of consciousness, felt emotion, or independent moral responsibility. The most important difference is not a contest over who is “advanced.” It is the human responsibility to use knowledge and technology without denying animal experience, excluding human diversity, or hiding institutional choices behind machines. What makes us different becomes ethically meaningful only when difference leads to humility and responsible action rather than domination (Birch & Clayton, 2020).

References

Birch, J., Schnell, A. K., & Clayton, N. S. (2020). Dimensions of animal consciousness. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 24*(10), 789–801.

Herrmann, E., Call, J., Hernández-Lloreda, M. V., Hare, B., & Tomasello, M. (2007). Humans have evolved specialized skills of social cognition. *Science, 317*(5843), 1360–1366.

Tomasello, M. (2014). *A Natural History of Human Thinking*. Harvard University Press.

National Institute of Standards and Technology. AI Risk Management Framework.

Guzman, A. L., & Lewis, S. C. (2020). Artificial intelligence and communication. *New Media & Society, 22*(1), 70–86.