

The Decline in the Marriage Trend

Posted on October 12, 2022

View this essay online:

<https://academic-master.com/decline-in-the-marriage-trend/>

Introduction

Marriage remains an important legal, cultural, personal, and religious institution, yet its timing and prevalence have changed substantially across many high-income societies. In the United States, the median age at first marriage reached 30.8 years for men and 28.4 years for women in 2025, compared with 23.5 and 21.1 respectively in 1975, illustrating how strongly marriage has shifted toward later adulthood (U.S. Census Bureau, 2025). Similar patterns appear across OECD countries, where marriage rates have generally declined and first marriage occurs later than in previous decades (OECD, 2024). These trends do not imply that commitment, partnership, or family life has disappeared. Instead, education, labor markets, housing costs, debt, women's economic autonomy, cohabitation, changing gender expectations, and reduced social pressure have altered the conditions under which people marry. Marriage increasingly functions as one pathway among several forms of intimate and family life rather than an almost automatic marker of adulthood. Understanding the decline therefore requires attention to economic structure, personal choice, changing institutions, and relationship expectations rather than a single moral or generational explanation.

Economic Security, Housing, and Educational Debt

Economic circumstances influence marriage because many adults now expect to achieve a degree of financial stability before forming a legal household. Uncertain employment, expensive housing, childcare costs, healthcare expenses, and student debt can make couples feel unprepared even when their relationships are stable. Pew Research Center found that financial readiness and employment concerns are among the reasons some cohabiting adults who hope to marry postpone engagement or marriage (Horowitz et al., 2019). Educational debt can also affect relationship transitions, although it does not operate identically for every borrower. Addo (2014) found associations between debt and movement among singlehood, cohabitation, and marriage in young adulthood, demonstrating that household formation is connected with financial obligations as well as affection. Longer education can delay earnings and geographic stability while simultaneously improving later economic prospects. Marriage therefore increasingly follows rather than precedes educational and occupational establishment. This pattern also helps explain socioeconomic differences in marriage: adults with secure employment and family resources can meet contemporary expectations of readiness more easily than those facing unstable work or high housing costs.

Women's Autonomy and Changing Gender Roles

Women's increased education and earning capacity have changed marriage by expanding the ability to choose whether, when, and whom to marry. Historically, legal and economic restrictions often made marriage a central route to financial security, while unequal employment and property rights limited alternatives. Greater autonomy can reduce marriages entered primarily through necessity and make it easier to leave abusive or deeply unsatisfactory relationships. It can also raise expectations for partnership because couples must negotiate paid work, domestic labor, childcare, career mobility, finances, and care for older relatives rather than relying on one rigid division of roles. Educational attainment does not simply reduce marriage. In the United States, college-educated adults have often been more likely to be married than adults with less education, even though they tend to marry later (Parker & Stepler, 2017). The relevant transformation is therefore not that women's independence has made marriage undesirable, but that marriage has become more selective and more dependent on compatibility, economic security, and negotiated equality. Potential partners increasingly evaluate whether a relationship can support two individual life courses rather than one predetermined household structure.

Cohabitation and the Deinstitutionalization of Marriage

Cohabitation has diversified the sequence through which intimate relationships develop. Couples may live together as preparation for marriage, as a long-term alternative, to share housing costs, or because they do not consider legal marriage necessary to their commitment. Pew Research Center reported broad social acceptance of cohabitation in the United States and found that many adults have experience living with an unmarried partner (Horowitz et al., 2019). Cohabitation does not always represent rejection of marriage because many couples later marry, while others delay because of debt, employment, previous divorce, or uncertainty about long-term compatibility. Cherlin (2004) describes a broader "deinstitutionalization" of American marriage in which fixed social rules governing relationships have weakened, giving couples more freedom but less standardized guidance. Legal marriage nevertheless continues to carry distinctive consequences involving inheritance, taxation, immigration, pensions, medical decision-making, parental rights, and property. The decline in institutional pressure means that adults can increasingly establish households, relationships, or parenthood without marriage, but the institution remains meaningful to many people. [Marriage trends](#) therefore reflect both diversification and continuing attachment to formal commitment.

Digital Communication and Relationship Expectations

Digital technology has changed how people meet, maintain relationships, and compare their private lives with public images of others. Dating platforms can expand the pool of possible partners beyond workplaces, neighborhoods, religious communities, or existing social networks, while messaging and social media support relationships across distance and demanding schedules. These tools can also introduce jealousy, monitoring, distraction, and repeated comparison with carefully curated images of other couples. Hand et al. (2013) found that perceptions of a partner's Facebook use were related to intimacy and relationship satisfaction, illustrating that the meaning of digital behavior depends on how it is interpreted within the couple. Nesi et al. (2018) similarly explain that social media changes peer interaction through greater visibility, persistence, quantifiability, and accessibility, features that can influence relationship expectations from adolescence onward. Technology should therefore not be treated as a direct cause of declining marriage. It expands opportunities while also increasing the number of visible alternatives and social comparisons. The effects depend on communication habits, trust, individual vulnerability, and whether digital interaction supports or displaces meaningful connection.

Marriage, Well-Being, and Children

Marriage can provide companionship, legal protection, shared income, caregiving, and social support, but marital status alone does not guarantee these benefits. Robles et al. (2014) found associations between marital quality and physical health, suggesting that supportive relationships may influence stress, health behavior, and access to assistance during illness. Poor-quality, coercive, or violent relationships can have the opposite effect, which means research should distinguish being married from being in a healthy partnership. The same caution applies to children. Married-parent households may have greater combined income and residential stability on average, yet family structure is intertwined with education, employment, parental resources, conflict, and prior circumstances. The National Academies' analysis of intergenerational poverty emphasizes that children's outcomes are shaped by economic conditions and family stability as well as household structure (National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, 2024). Public policy should therefore avoid assuming that encouraging marriage alone will resolve poverty or child disadvantage. Support for income, housing, healthcare, childcare, education, and safe caregiving relationships can benefit children across married, cohabiting, single-parent, blended, and multigenerational families.

Marriage as a Later and More Voluntary Institution

The long-term decline in marriage does not mean that the institution is becoming irrelevant. It increasingly occurs after adults complete education, establish employment, evaluate compatibility,

and develop some financial independence. This change has sometimes been described as a movement from marriage as a foundation for adult life toward marriage as a later “capstone.” The U.S. Census Bureau’s 2025 estimates illustrate that married-couple households now account for a smaller share of households than they did five decades earlier, while first marriage occurs substantially later (U.S. Census Bureau, 2025). OECD data show comparable tendencies across many other countries, although cultural and national differences remain large (OECD, 2024). Reduced social and religious pressure also allows more adults to remain single, cohabit, or leave unsuitable relationships without the stigma faced by earlier generations. These choices can lower marriage rates while increasing the proportion of marriages entered voluntarily. The resulting institution is less universal and more differentiated by education, income, religion, culture, sexual orientation, and personal preference, making one national average an incomplete description of contemporary family life.

Conclusion

The decline in marriage is best understood as a broad transformation in the relationship between intimacy, adulthood, economics, and social institutions. Later education, housing costs, debt, employment uncertainty, women’s autonomy, cohabitation, changing gender roles, digital dating, and higher expectations for emotional compatibility all influence when and whether people marry. These forces interact rather than operate independently. Economic security can make marriage more attainable, while autonomy allows people to reject relationships they consider unequal or unsafe. Digital platforms expand partner choice but also intensify comparison and uncertainty. Marriage continues to offer legal protections, companionship, shared resources, and a meaningful public commitment for many people, yet its benefits depend strongly on relationship quality and material circumstances (Robles et al., 2014). The contemporary change is therefore not simply a disappearance of marriage but a shift from a nearly compulsory early-life transition toward a later, more selective, and more voluntary decision. Understanding that change requires recognizing diverse family forms while examining the economic and institutional conditions that shape people’s ability to form stable relationships.

References

- Addo, F. R. (2014). Debt, cohabitation, and marriage in young adulthood. *Demography*, 51(5), 1677-1701. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13524-014-0333-6>
- Cherlin, A. J. (2004). The deinstitutionalization of American marriage. *Journal of Marriage and Family*, 66(4), 848-861. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0022-2445.2004.00058.x>
- Hand, M. M., Thomas, D., Buboltz, W. C., Jr., Deemer, E. D., & Buyanjargal, M. (2013). Facebook and romantic relationships: Intimacy and couple satisfaction associated with online social network use.

Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking, 16(1), 8-13.

<https://doi.org/10.1089/cyber.2012.0038>

Horowitz, J. M., Graf, N., & Livingston, G. (2019). *Marriage and cohabitation in the U.S.* Pew Research Center.

<https://www.pewresearch.org/social-trends/2019/11/06/marriage-and-cohabitation-in-the-u-s/>

National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. (2024). *Reducing intergenerational poverty*. The National Academies Press. <https://doi.org/10.17226/27058>

Nesi, J., Choukas-Bradley, S., & Prinstein, M. J. (2018). Transformation of adolescent peer relations in the social media context: Part 1—A theoretical framework and application to dyadic peer relationships. *Clinical Child and Family Psychology Review*, 21(3), 267-294.

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10567-018-0261-x>

Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2024). *Society at a glance 2024: OECD social indicators*. OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/918d8db3-en>

Parker, K., & Stepler, R. (2017). *As U.S. marriage rate hovers at 50%, education gap in marital status widens*. Pew Research Center.

<https://www.pewresearch.org/short-reads/2017/09/14/as-u-s-marriage-rate-hovers-at-50-education-gap-in-marital-status-widens/>

Robles, T. F., Slatcher, R. B., Trombello, J. M., & McGinn, M. M. (2014). Marital quality and health: A meta-analytic review. *Psychological Bulletin*, 140(1), 140-187.

<https://doi.org/10.1037/a0031859>

U.S. Census Bureau. (2025, December 2). *Census Bureau releases new estimates on America's families and living arrangements*.

<https://www.census.gov/newsroom/press-releases/2025/families-and-living-arrangements.html>