

Criticism Of Advertising For Unhealthy Wealth

Posted on October 24, 2018

View this essay online:

<https://academic-master.com/criticism-of-advertising-for-unhealthy-wealth/>

Introduction

Advertising is not harmful merely because it persuades. Businesses, charities, governments, and public-health organizations all use paid communication to inform audiences and influence behavior. Ethical concerns arise when persuasion depends on insecurity, deception, stereotyping, or the suggestion that personal worth can be purchased through appearance and status. Modern advertising intensifies these concerns because it extends beyond television and print into search results, social-media feeds, influencer content, games, podcasts, apps, and personalized messages built from user data. A campaign can therefore influence consumers not only through the visible image but through timing, repetition, targeting, and the commercial information collected about them. Criticism of advertising for promoting unhealthy wealth should focus on this broader system. The problem is not aspiration itself or the possession of expensive goods. It is the repeated association of dignity, attractiveness, belonging, and success with consumption, especially when audiences are encouraged to feel inadequate before being offered a product as the solution (Pollay, 1986; Kilbourne, 1999).

Desire, Status, and Manufactured Inadequacy

Advertising frequently transforms functional products into symbols of identity. A car becomes evidence of achievement, a watch becomes proof of status, clothing becomes admission to a desirable social group, and cosmetics become a promise of confidence or social acceptance. Consumers remain capable of reflection, but persuasion works by framing choices, creating emotional associations, and making some brands easier to remember or socially display. Luxury marketing often relies on exclusivity, scarcity, and comparison with people who possess less, which can encourage the belief that wealth is valuable mainly because it separates the buyer from ordinary consumers. Social media makes these signals more visible because possessions can be displayed continuously and compared across large networks. The ethical concern is strongest when status marketing encourages debt, compulsive consumption, or contempt for people with fewer resources. Ambition and financial success do not need to be condemned; instead, advertisers should avoid presenting material acquisition as the primary measure of a meaningful life or exploiting financial insecurity through false urgency and unrealistic promises.

Body Image, Sexuality, and Commercial Comparison

Body image and sexuality provide another route through which advertising can create dissatisfaction before offering a commercial remedy. Models are selected, styled, lit, posed, filtered, and digitally altered, making ordinary variation in skin, weight, age, disability, or body shape appear defective. Sexual imagery may attract attention, but attention does not guarantee useful information about the product and can reduce people to objects when their bodies are unrelated to what is being sold. These pressures affect men as well as women through ideals of muscularity, height, hair, youth, sexual success, and emotional control. Advertising does not single-handedly cause depression, eating disorders, unsafe supplement use, or cosmetic procedures, yet it contributes to a wider environment of social comparison. Ethical campaigns can still use beauty, humor, desire, and style without implying that one body type determines human value. The relevant questions are whether imagery is connected to the product, whether people are represented with dignity, and whether claims about transformation are realistic rather than medically or psychologically manipulative.

Race, Culture, and Invisible Targeting

Race and culture also shape how commercial messages distribute social value. Advertising has historically relied on caricatures, servant roles, exoticism, criminal stereotypes, sexual stereotypes, and the repeated presentation of one racial group as the default professional, family, or desirable consumer. Contemporary campaigns may avoid openly discriminatory language while still reproducing inequality through casting, lighting, role assignment, language, or omission. Inclusion therefore requires more than adding one visibly diverse person to an image. It requires attention to who speaks, who has authority, which identities are associated with competence, and whether cultural practices are used respectfully. Data-driven targeting can reproduce similar problems invisibly. An algorithm may deliver employment, housing, financial, or lifestyle advertisements unevenly because it learns from historical behavior or uses proxy variables correlated with race, gender, or income. Ethical review should examine distribution outcomes as well as creative intention. A message can appear neutral while the system deciding who sees it creates unequal opportunity or reinforces stereotypes.

Vulnerability, Privacy, and Truthful Claims

Children and other vulnerable audiences require stronger protections because they may have less ability to recognize persuasive intent or resist emotionally tailored claims. Games, influencers, branded characters, randomized rewards, and in-app purchases can blur the boundary between entertainment and commerce. Adults facing illness, grief, debt, loneliness, addiction, or body-image distress may likewise be targeted with promises of rapid improvement. Personalized advertising can

make this manipulation more precise by combining browsing history, purchases, location, device identifiers, and inferred interests. Consent to such targeting should be meaningful rather than buried in lengthy terms, and sensitive characteristics should not become automatic commercial opportunities. Similar principles apply to health and environmental claims. Terms such as “clinically proven,” “natural,” “detox,” or “eco-friendly” can sound authoritative while remaining vague or unsupported. The Federal Trade Commission requires advertising claims to be truthful and substantiated, reflecting the broader principle that emotional creativity does not excuse factual deception. Transparency about sponsorship, evidence, and data use is therefore central to responsible advertising.

Positive Uses and Shared Responsibility

Advertising can also serve socially useful purposes, which is why a complete critique should distinguish harmful techniques from the medium itself. Campaigns can promote vaccination, road safety, anti-smoking efforts, literacy, blood donation, emergency preparation, and recognition of abuse. Commercial advertisements can help consumers compare features, price, availability, and new products, while inclusive representation can broaden which families, professions, ages, and bodies are treated as ordinary. Responsibility is shared, but it is not equal. Consumers benefit from media literacy and from asking who paid for a message, what emotion is being created, what evidence supports the claim, and what information has been omitted. Advertisers and platforms possess greater informational and technical power, so they carry stronger obligations to substantiate claims, disclose sponsorship, protect personal data, avoid discriminatory targeting, and provide age-appropriate safeguards. An ethical advertising culture can remain competitive and creative while refusing to make prejudice, insecurity, hidden surveillance, and deceptive scarcity its primary tools for generating profit.

Conclusion

The strongest criticism of unhealthy advertising is therefore not that commerce makes people want things. Markets depend partly on informing consumers and creating preferences. The deeper concern is the repeated conversion of human insecurity into a sales mechanism. Messages that equate wealth with moral worth, thinness or muscularity with acceptability, youth with relevance, or racial stereotypes with normal social roles can shape the environment in which people judge themselves and others. Digital targeting increases the power of these messages by choosing the moment, audience, and emotional context in which persuasion occurs. At the same time, advertising can provide useful information and support beneficial behavior when claims are truthful, sponsorship is visible, data practices are restrained, and representation respects human dignity. Consumers should learn to recognize persuasive methods, but education cannot substitute for institutional accountability. Advertisers, agencies, influencers, and platforms should design systems in which commercial success depends on relevance and credibility rather than on manufacturing shame,

exploiting vulnerability, or disguising the economic interests behind the message.

References

Federal Trade Commission. (2023). *Advertising and Marketing Basics*.

Kilbourne, J. (1999). *Can't Buy My Love: How Advertising Changes the Way We Think and Feel*. Free Press.

Livingstone, S., & Helsper, E. J. (2006). Does advertising literacy mediate the effects of advertising on children? *Journal of Communication*, 56(3), 560–584.

Pollay, R. W. (1986). The distorted mirror: Reflections on the unintended consequences of advertising. *Journal of Marketing*, 50(2), 18–36.