Character Analysis of Amanda in The Glass Menagerie

Posted on May 9, 2018

View this essay online:
https://academic-master.com/character-analysis-of-amanda-in-the-glass-menagerie/

Introduction

Amanda Wingfield is one of the most difficult characters in Tennessee Williams's *The* Glass Menagerie because she is simultaneously comic, controlling, vulnerable, and tragically practical. The play is narrated through Tom's memory, so Amanda reaches the audience through the perspective of a son who feels trapped by her demands. Yet the action also reveals pressures that Tom's resentment cannot fully explain. Amanda is an abandoned wife raising two adult children during the Great Depression, with little income and limited social power. Her stories about Southern girlhood can sound vain and repetitive, but they also function as a defense against insecurity. Her management of Tom and Laura often becomes intrusive, though it grows from a realistic fear of poverty and dependence. A fair character analysis must therefore resist reducing Amanda to either a foolish mother or a heroic victim. She is tragic because her love is genuine, her fears are justified, and her methods repeatedly damage the people she hopes to protect. (Crandell; Roudané; Williams)

Amanda as a Figure Seen Through Memory

Williams labels the drama a memory play, and that form affects Amanda's characterization. Tom is both participant and narrator: the younger Tom experiences Amanda's pressure, while the older Tom reshapes those events through guilt and recollection. Memory exaggerates certain gestures, phrases, and conflicts. Amanda's repeated accounts of seventeen gentlemen callers, her instructions at the dinner table, and her dramatic preparations for Jim become almost ritualized. This does not make them false. Instead, it shows which aspects of his mother remain most powerful in Tom's mind. The audience must interpret Amanda through a double lens: she is the woman onstage and the remembered force from whom Tom still cannot escape. Her theatricality suits this form because she already performs versions of herself. Tom's memory intensifies a woman who survived by turning experience into narrative. (Bigsby).

The Southern Belle Story as Self-Preservation

Amanda repeatedly returns to Blue Mountain, where she remembers herself as a socially admired young woman surrounded by callers. These stories are often treated as evidence that she lives entirely in the past. They are also attempts to preserve identity after abandonment and downward mobility. The past gives Amanda a language in which she was desired, secure, and connected to a recognized social order. In the St. Louis apartment, by contrast, she depends on Tom's warehouse wages and confronts Laura's limited prospects. Repetition allows her to inhabit a more coherent self. The problem is that she mistakes a coping story for a workable plan. She assumes that Laura can be prepared for courtship according to the conventions of Amanda's youth, even though Laura's temperament, disability, and historical circumstances differ profoundly. Amanda's nostalgia consoles her while obscuring the present.

Economic Fear Beneath Domestic Control

Amanda's controlling behavior cannot be separated from material insecurity. Her husband has disappeared, leaving only a postcard and an image. Tom's job supports the household, and Laura has withdrawn from business college. In this setting, Amanda's insistence on punctuality, manners, employment, and marriage is not simply an obsession with respectability. She understands that the family has almost no safety net. Her magazine-subscription work is humiliating and unstable, yet she performs it because income is necessary. She worries that Laura could become one of the unmarried women who depend on reluctant relatives. Her fears may be expressed through dated assumptions about gender, but the danger of economic abandonment is real. Amanda's tragedy lies partly in responding to structural vulnerability through personal control: because she cannot change the economy or recover her husband, she tries to regulate Tom's habits and Laura's future.

Motherhood as Love and Possession

Amanda's devotion contains a possessive element. She wants security for her children, but she often defines security according to her own experience. With Laura, concern becomes pressure to attend business school, receive callers, and present herself as marriageable. With Tom, concern becomes surveillance of his reading, eating, smoking, late nights, and use of money. Amanda interprets resistance as irresponsibility because she sees the family as an interdependent unit in crisis. Tom, however, experiences her demands as denial of his individuality. The conflict is not simply between love and selfishness. Amanda's love assumes that sacrifice gives her authority, while Tom believes sacrifice without freedom destroys him. Williams allows both claims to carry weight. Amanda has been abandoned once and fears another departure; Tom fears that staying will reproduce his father's emotional disappearance before any physical escape occurs.

Amanda and Laura: Protection That Becomes Exposure

Amanda is deeply aware of Laura's vulnerability but repeatedly fails to understand its form. She initially believes commercial training will provide independence. When she learns that Laura has stopped attending class because of overwhelming anxiety, Amanda immediately shifts to marriage as the alternative. This response reveals practical urgency but also imaginative limitation. She does not ask what kind of environment might allow Laura to develop confidence gradually. Instead, she imposes a social performance that magnifies Laura's fear. The gentleman-caller plan is intended as protection, yet it exposes Laura to an encounter built on unrealistic expectations. Amanda's enthusiastic preparations—dress, food, lighting, stories, and flirtatious manner—turn the evening into a reenactment of her own youth. Laura becomes both daughter and substitute self, expected to succeed where Amanda's own marriage failed.

Amanda and Tom: The Argument Over Escape

Tom's desire for adventure appears to Amanda as a threat to survival. He seeks movies, literature, poetry, and eventually the merchant marine because warehouse life feels coffin-like. Amanda hears these desires through the history of abandonment. The father's portrait and absence teach her that male restlessness can leave women economically exposed. Her suspicion is therefore emotionally understandable, but she uses guilt and ridicule rather than acknowledging Tom's need for a life beyond the apartment. She criticizes his books and questions his nights out, narrowing the space in which honest conversation might occur. Tom retaliates with cruelty, and their exchanges become theatrical battles in which neither can concede the other's reality. Amanda needs him to remain a provider; Tom needs her to recognize that compulsory sacrifice cannot guarantee loving presence.

The Gentleman Caller as Amanda's Final Practical Fantasy

Jim O'Connor represents Amanda's attempt to convert fantasy into action. Unlike her purely nostalgic stories, inviting a coworker to dinner is a concrete plan. She cleans, cooks, alters Laura's appearance, and creates a setting designed to display domestic respectability. Her energy demonstrates competence and hope. Yet the plan depends on insufficient information. Amanda does not know that Jim is engaged, and Tom has not investigated because his attention is already directed toward departure. The failure is therefore shared, but Amanda's assumptions remain central. She treats one dinner as a decisive solution to Laura's future and imagines that charm can overcome incompatibility, anxiety, and economic reality. When the plan collapses, her rage at Tom is excessive but not baseless: he has used the household's final resources while secretly preparing to leave.

Performance, Comedy, and Cruelty

Amanda's exaggerated speech and behavior often produce comedy. Her ceremonial manners, romantic recollections, and old-fashioned dress can appear absurd in the cramped apartment. Comedy, however, does not cancel suffering. Williams uses theatrical excess to show how Amanda protects herself from humiliation. Her performance becomes cruel when it denies Laura's fear or shames Tom, but it also keeps despair at a distance. The audience may laugh and then recognize that the laughter is uncomfortable because the stakes are severe. Amanda is not merely pretending that everything is fine. She is actively constructing a version of the family that can continue functioning. The performance fails because it cannot accommodate difference: Laura cannot become Amanda, and Tom cannot become the dependable male provider Amanda imagines.

The Final Image and Amanda's Unheard Tenderness

After Jim leaves and Tom departs, the stage presents Amanda comforting Laura. Tom narrates from outside the scene, and the audience cannot hear the women's words. This choice changes the judgment of Amanda. The woman who has spent much of the play speaking loudly is finally shown in silent tenderness. Tom's memory recognizes an intimacy from which he is absent. Amanda may still be flawed, but she is not emptied of love. The final tableau also complicates Tom's claim to escape. He carries Laura's image, while Amanda remains with the practical consequences. Her comforting gesture does not solve their future, yet it reveals a capacity for care that her controlling language often conceals. Williams grants Amanda dignity without erasing the harm she causes.

Is Amanda Static or Capable of Recognition?

Amanda does not undergo a conventional transformation. She remains attached to familiar assumptions and does not openly revise her understanding of Laura or Tom. Nevertheless, the failed dinner strips away the confidence of her plan. The final silent image suggests a movement from performance to direct care. This is not enough to call her fully dynamic, but it prevents a simple classification as static. Amanda's character is built from contradiction rather than linear change. She is perceptive about economic danger but mistaken about solutions; abandoned yet domineering; ridiculous in manner yet serious in purpose; and emotionally dependent while demanding sacrifice from others. These tensions make her more than an obstacle in Tom's story. She is a tragic center of the Wingfield family.

Conclusion

Amanda Wingfield should be understood as a mother attempting to protect her family with the limited cultural scripts available to her. Her nostalgia for Blue Mountain preserves dignity but distorts her reading of the present. Her economic fears are justified, yet her response turns care into control. She pressures Laura toward a courtship performance that ignores her needs and binds Tom to a provider role that makes honest intimacy impossible. At the same time, Amanda works, plans, endures humiliation, and continues caring after her hopes collapse. Because the play is filtered through Tom's memory, her most irritating traits become vivid, but the final image restores the tenderness his resentment cannot erase. Amanda is tragic not because she lacks love, but because love, fear, memory, and possession have become inseparable in her effort to keep the family from disappearing.

Works Cited

Bigsby, C. W. E. *Modern American Drama, 1945–2000*. Cambridge UP, 2000.

Crandell, George W. "The Cinematic Eye in Tennessee Williams's *The Glass Menagerie*." *Tennessee Williams Annual Review*, no. 1, 1998, pp. 1–11.

Roudané, Matthew C., editor. *The Cambridge Companion to Tennessee Williams*. Cambridge UP, 1997.

Williams, Tennessee. *The Glass Menagerie*. New Directions, 1999.