# CATWOE Analysis of Dialysis Operations Management

Posted on October 17, 2018

CATWOE analysis is a component of Soft Systems Methodology used to clarify a problematic human-activity system before proposing change. The letters represent Customers, Actors, Transformation, Weltanschauung, Owners, and Environmental constraints. The original dialysis analysis concentrates mainly on Actors—doctors, nurses, managers, and operating staff—but a complete CATWOE review must explain who experiences the results, what transformation is intended, why that transformation matters, who can authorize or stop it, and which constraints cannot simply be removed. Dialysis operations are especially suitable for this framework because the service is clinically complex, repetitive, time-sensitive, resource-intensive, and deeply dependent on coordination. A missed task, contaminated access point, water-quality failure, medication error, transport delay, or staffing gap can harm patients quickly. The objective is not merely to run machines efficiently. It is to create a reliable, patient-centered system that delivers safe treatment while respecting dignity, preferences, and long-term care goals (Bergvall-Kåreborn et al., 2004).

## Problem Situation in Dialysis Operations

People with kidney failure may require hemodialysis several times each week, peritoneal dialysis, home hemodialysis, or another treatment pathway while awaiting or considering transplantation. In-center hemodialysis involves scheduling, transport, patient assessment, vascular access, machine preparation, water treatment, medication, laboratory review, infection prevention, emergency response, documentation, and post-treatment evaluation. Patients often have diabetes, cardiovascular disease, anemia, mobility limitations, or other complex conditions. The service therefore cannot be managed as a simple production line. Efficiency matters because delays and waste affect access, but excessive emphasis on throughput can reduce communication, safety checks, and individualized care.

## Root Definition

A useful root definition for the system is: *A multidisciplinary, patient-centered dialysis service owned and governed by facility leadership and clinical oversight, operated by trained staff and supporting departments, that transforms fragmented or unreliable access to renal replacement therapy into safe, timely, effective, equitable, and coordinated treatment, within regulatory, staffing, financial, technological, and environmental constraints.* This statement identifies the system's purpose without assuming that every patient needs the same schedule or modality. It also makes quality and equity

part of the transformation rather than optional additions (Basden & Wood-Harper, 2006).

# C – Customers

Customers in CATWOE are the people who benefit from or may be harmed by the transformation. The primary customers are patients receiving dialysis. They experience the direct consequences of scheduling, infection control, vascular-access care, communication, symptom management, and treatment adequacy. Families and caregivers are also customers because dialysis affects transport, work, diet, finances, and home responsibilities. The wider community benefits when emergency admissions and preventable infections decline. Payers and regulators receive outcomes from the system, but they should not replace patients as the central customer group.

# Customer Needs and Risks

Patients need reliable appointments, respectful communication, safe access care, appropriate fluid and medication management, symptom relief, emergency planning, and information about treatment options. They may be harmed by long waits, rushed assessment, bloodstream infection, infiltration, falls, hypotension, medication errors, missed treatments, or transportation failures. A CATWOE analysis should include these harms explicitly because a process that appears efficient from management's perspective may impose unacceptable burdens on patients. Customer measures should include experience, safety, missed treatments, hospitalization, access complications, and the ability to participate in decisions.

# A – Actors

Actors are the people and groups who perform the activities that make the transformation possible. In dialysis, they include nephrologists, the medical director, registered nurses, licensed practical or vocational nurses where permitted, dialysis technicians, dietitians, social workers, pharmacists, infection-prevention personnel, biomedical technicians, water-treatment specialists, schedulers, transport coordinators, environmental-services staff, information-technology teams, administrators, and supply-chain personnel. Patients and caregivers may also be actors, especially in home dialysis, self-care, access protection, medication management, and reporting symptoms.

# Role Clarity Among Actors

The original essay correctly argues that actors need clearly defined responsibilities. Role clarity should specify who assesses the patient before treatment, confirms the prescription, cannulates access, verifies machine settings, administers medications, responds to emergencies, documents care, monitors water quality, disinfects equipment, communicates laboratory results, and follows up

on missed sessions. Clear responsibility prevents duplication and omission, but rigid boundaries should not discourage speaking up. Any team member who notices a safety concern should be able to stop the process and escalate it without fear of retaliation.

# T – Transformation

The transformation is not simply “performing dialysis.” The input is a patient population facing kidney failure within a potentially fragmented system of referrals, appointments, machines, staff, data, transport, and supplies. The desired output is coordinated treatment delivered safely and on time, with clinical goals reviewed and complications prevented or addressed. Operationally, the transformation includes converting a prescription into a completed treatment and converting disconnected activities into a dependable care pathway. It also includes helping patients understand modality choices, transplant referral, home options, and conservative kidney management where appropriate.

# Transformation Through Patient Flow

Patient flow begins before arrival. The facility confirms scheduling, transport, staffing, chair availability, prescription changes, infection precautions, and required supplies. On arrival, staff verify identity, assess weight, symptoms, vital signs, access, recent events, and medication issues. During treatment, they monitor the patient and machine, respond to alarms, manage access, and document interventions. After treatment, they assess stability, provide instructions, communicate concerns, and prepare the station safely for the next person. Mapping each step makes bottlenecks visible and helps distinguish necessary clinical time from avoidable delay.

# W – Weltanschauung

Weltanschauung is the worldview that makes the transformation meaningful. In this case, the worldview is that dialysis is a life-sustaining, high-risk healthcare service whose quality depends on reliable operations and partnership with patients. It is not merely a sequence of machine cycles. This worldview justifies investment in staffing, infection prevention, water safety, communication, and patient education even when those activities do not increase the number of treatments completed immediately. A competing worldview might treat dialysis primarily as production volume. CATWOE makes that tension visible so leaders can decide which values guide the system.

# Patient-Centered Worldview

A patient-centered worldview recognizes that treatment consumes substantial time and affects employment, fatigue, diet, family life, travel, and emotional wellbeing. Scheduling should consider

more than chair utilization. Patients need understandable information and opportunities to raise concerns. CDC materials encourage patient education and engagement in infection prevention. Patient-centeredness also means avoiding blame when a session is missed and investigating transport, work, caregiving, symptoms, or communication barriers. Respectful service can improve adherence without treating the patient as a passive object moving through a process.

# O – Owners

Owners are those who can authorize, reshape, or terminate the system. At facility level, this may include the governing body, executive leadership, dialysis organization, and medical director. CMS Conditions for Coverage assign important governance and quality responsibilities to facilities and require specified clinical oversight. Owners also include external authorities in a broader sense: regulators can restrict operation, payers can change incentives, and accrediting or licensing bodies can require corrective action. Identifying ownership matters because frontline actors cannot solve problems that require capital, staffing, policy, or contract changes without leadership authority (Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, 2026; Checkland & Poulter, 2006).

# Ownership and Accountability

Leadership should not delegate responsibility for outcomes entirely to nurses or technicians. Owners control staffing models, equipment replacement, training time, supplier contracts, facility design, and information systems. The medical director oversees quality and safety within regulatory expectations, while administrators manage operational resources. Accountability should therefore be distributed according to control. If staff repeatedly work short, the problem is not solved by telling them to become more efficient. Owners must address recruitment, retention, scheduling, workload, and the assumptions built into capacity plans.

# E – Environmental Constraints

Environmental constraints are conditions the system must accommodate even if it cannot change them quickly. They include regulation, reimbursement, workforce supply, physical space, water quality, electricity reliability, infection outbreaks, transportation, patient acuity, medication and equipment availability, local disaster risk, and vendor dependence. Geographic location can limit access to nephrologists, biomedical support, and transport. Payment rules influence resources, but regulatory compliance and patient safety remain mandatory. The environmental category prevents unrealistic solutions that assume unlimited staff, space, or funding.

# Workforce Constraints

Dialysis requires specialized competence and consistent staffing. Vacancies, turnover, burnout, and variable experience can increase risk. Facilities should use acuity and workload rather than chair count alone when planning staff. Orientation must include supervised clinical competency, infection prevention, emergency response, water and machine safety, vascular access, and documentation. Cross-training can improve resilience, but it should not allow employees to work outside lawful scope or competence. Retention efforts should address scheduling, pay, safety, respect, and career development.

# Scheduling and Capacity Management

Scheduling should balance chair utilization with treatment duration, cleaning, turnover, isolation needs, staff breaks, transport, and emergency capacity. Overbooking may appear efficient until delays cascade across shifts. Operations managers can analyze arrival patterns, treatment variance, no-shows, and equipment downtime. Reserved capacity may be needed for hospitalized discharges, acute changes, or makeup treatments. Patients should receive timely notice of schedule changes, and facilities should avoid assigning chronically inconvenient times to people with less ability to advocate.

# Vascular Access Management

Vascular access is a major safety and operational concern in hemodialysis. Arteriovenous fistulas, grafts, and central venous catheters have different risks and care requirements. Catheters are associated with higher bloodstream-infection risk, while fistulas and grafts can develop stenosis, thrombosis, infiltration, or infection. Staff need standardized assessment, cannulation competence, hand hygiene, antiseptic technique, and escalation pathways. Patients should be taught how to protect access and recognize warning signs. Access outcomes should be reviewed in the facility’s quality-improvement program.

# Infection Prevention

Patients receiving hemodialysis are vulnerable to bloodstream infections because treatment repeatedly accesses the bloodstream and occurs in shared clinical environments. CDC’s Core Interventions include surveillance, hand-hygiene observation, catheter care, staff competency, patient education, and reduction of catheter use where clinically appropriate. Operations must provide enough time and supplies for proper disinfection. Medication preparation areas should remain separate from contaminated stations, and shared equipment must be cleaned between patients. Infection prevention is not a task added after scheduling; it must shape station design and workflow (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2026).

# Water, Dialysate, and Equipment Safety

Hemodialysis exposes patients to large volumes of treated water indirectly through the dialysate circuit, making water treatment and monitoring critical. Facilities need maintenance schedules, testing, alarm response, documentation, and trained technical personnel. Machines require preventive maintenance and disinfection according to standards and manufacturer instructions. CMS survey tools include water-treatment and equipment review because failure can affect many patients simultaneously. Contingency plans should address power loss, water interruption, contamination, and equipment shortage.

# Emergency Preparedness

Dialysis facilities must prepare for hypotension, cardiac events, bleeding, access failure, allergic reactions, fire, severe weather, cyber incidents, and utility interruption. Emergency roles should be assigned before an event. Staff need drills, emergency medications, communication procedures, and transfer arrangements. Patients should know what to do if the facility closes or transport is unavailable. Regional coordination is important because disasters may affect several facilities simultaneously. A plan that exists only in a binder is not operational preparedness.

# Clinical Information and Handoffs

Dialysis care depends on accurate prescriptions, laboratory results, medication lists, target weights, hospital discharge information, and communication among clinicians. Transitions from hospital to outpatient dialysis are high-risk because medications, access status, or treatment needs may change. Information systems should flag new orders and unresolved concerns. Handoffs should use a standardized structure while allowing clinical judgment. Duplicate entry and poorly designed alerts create workload and can conceal urgent information.

# Missed and Shortened Treatments

Missed or shortened treatments can contribute to fluid overload, electrolyte disturbance, emergency care, and hospitalization. Operations should identify causes rather than record only nonattendance. Transport failure, employment, caregiving, pain, depression, dissatisfaction, and scheduling can all contribute. Social workers, nurses, and schedulers can collaborate on individualized solutions. Repeated missed care should trigger outreach and clinical assessment, not automatic discharge or moral judgment. Facilities must also protect staff and other patients when behavior creates genuine safety concerns.

# Home Dialysis and Transplant Pathways

A patient-centered system provides education about home hemodialysis, peritoneal dialysis, transplant evaluation, and other appropriate pathways. In-center operations should not create incentives that keep every patient in the same modality. Home treatment requires training, housing and storage assessment, water or supply planning, caregiver considerations, and ongoing support. Transplant referral needs tracking so eligible patients do not disappear between organizations. Modality choice should be revisited as health and circumstances change.

# Quality Assessment and Performance Improvement

CMS requires dialysis facilities to maintain a quality-assessment and performance-improvement process. Measures may include bloodstream infection, hospitalization, mortality, adequacy, vascular access, anemia management, mineral metabolism, patient experience, missed treatments, falls, medication reconciliation, and grievances. Data should identify patterns and disparities rather than become a reporting exercise. A Plan-Do-Study-Act cycle can test changes on a small scale, compare outcomes with baseline, and revise the intervention. Patients and frontline staff should participate because they see failures not visible in aggregate dashboards.

# Reflection

From an operations-management perspective, I initially viewed the actors as the center of dialysis performance. That remains partly true because doctors, nurses, technicians, managers, and support staff carry out the work. CATWOE shows, however, that actors cannot be analyzed alone. Their performance depends on the customer experience, the intended transformation, the worldview guiding priorities, the owners who control resources, and environmental constraints. Clear roles improve performance only when staffing, equipment, communication, safety culture, and leadership support those roles.

# Conclusion

CATWOE provides a disciplined way to redesign dialysis operations without reducing care to machine efficiency. Customers are patients and families who benefit or suffer from the service. Actors include the full multidisciplinary and operational team. The transformation converts fragmented access into safe, reliable, coordinated treatment. The worldview treats dialysis as life-sustaining, patient-centered care. Owners include governance, executives, and clinical leadership with authority to change the system. Environmental constraints include staffing, regulation, reimbursement, infrastructure,

transportation, and clinical complexity. When these elements are aligned, the facility can improve flow while protecting infection prevention, water safety, emergency response, patient voice, and long-term treatment choice.

## References


Basden, A., & Wood-Harper, A. T. (2006). A philosophical discussion of the root definition in soft systems thinking. *Systems Research and Behavioral Science, 23*(1), 61–87.

Bergvall-Kåreborn, B., Mirijamdotter, A., & Basden, A. (2004). Basic principles of SSM modeling. *Systemic Practice and Action Research, 17*(2), 55–73.

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2026). *Best practices for bloodstream infection prevention in dialysis settings*.

Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. (2026). *End-stage renal disease facility Conditions for Coverage and survey resources*.

Checkland, P., & Poulter, J. (2006). *Learning for action*. Wiley.