Brain Death and Organ Donation Ethics

Posted on May 7, 2020

Introduction:

Brain death refers to a state of sudden loss of consciousness due to the inability of the heart to continue working. In this condition, the tissues of the brain die. Modern ways of medical assistance can keep the body alive, but the person has no chance of full recovery. Brain death is an irreversible condition that has raised numerous ethical issues related to the discontinuation of treatment and organ donation. The Case of Stephen includes questions that are central to the application of bioethics and nursing. The paper focuses on the ethical and legal problems that healthcare professionals encounter while making decisions for brain-dead patients. The case shows the importance of respecting patient autonomy, family values, and professional responsibilities.

Overview of the Case

Stephen was admitted to the hospital after suffering a severe brain injury. The medical team conducted several examinations and concluded that he was brain dead. His heart continued to beat because he was connected to mechanical ventilation and other life-support systems. The healthcare team informed the family that brain death is legally and medically considered death. However, the family refused to accept the diagnosis and requested that life support be continued. The family believed that as long as Stephen's heart was beating and his body remained warm, he was still alive. They hoped that he might recover and asked the healthcare professionals to continue all possible treatments. The medical team explained that Stephen had permanently lost all brain function and that no treatment could reverse his condition. This disagreement created an ethical conflict between the family's wishes and the medical judgment of the healthcare professionals.

Ethical Issues

One of the primary ethical issues in Stephen's case is autonomy. Autonomy refers to the right of individuals to make decisions about their medical treatment. If Stephen had previously expressed his wishes through an advance directive or discussion with family members, those preferences should guide the decision. However, if his wishes were unknown, the family would act as surrogate decision-makers and should attempt to decide according to what Stephen would have wanted. Another important ethical principle is beneficence, which requires healthcare professionals to act in the best interest of the patient. Continuing medical treatment that cannot improve the patient's condition might not provide any benefit. Mechanical ventilation may keep the heart and other organs functioning temporarily, but it cannot restore brain function. Therefore, continuing treatment could be

considered medically futile. The principle of non-maleficence also applies. Non-maleficence means avoiding harm. Although a brain-dead patient cannot experience pain, prolonged medical intervention can cause emotional distress to the family and may prevent them from accepting the death. It can also create unnecessary medical procedures and expenses. Justice is another relevant principle. Healthcare resources are limited, and intensive care treatment requires specialized staff, equipment, and hospital space. Continuing life support for a legally dead patient may prevent another critically ill patient from receiving necessary care. However, decisions about resource allocation must be handled carefully and respectfully.

Legal Considerations

Brain death is legally recognized as death in many jurisdictions. The Uniform Determination of Death Act defines death as either the irreversible cessation of circulatory and respiratory functions or the irreversible cessation of all functions of the entire brain, including the brain stem. Once a patient meets the accepted medical criteria for brain death, the patient can legally be declared dead. (Greer et al., 2023; Uniform Determination of Death Act, 1981) Healthcare professionals must follow established procedures when diagnosing brain death. These procedures may include neurological examinations, assessment of brain-stem reflexes, an apnea test, and sometimes additional confirmatory tests. The diagnosis must be made by qualified physicians according to hospital policy and applicable law. After a legal declaration of death, healthcare providers are generally not required to continue life support indefinitely. However, some jurisdictions require reasonable accommodation for religious or moral objections. Hospitals may allow families a short period to understand the diagnosis, perform religious rituals, or arrange for the withdrawal of mechanical support.

Role of the Nurse

The nurse has a crucial role in Stephen’s case. Nurses spend significant time with patients and families and often become the primary source of emotional support and information. The nurse should communicate with the family respectfully and explain the meaning of brain death in clear and compassionate language. The nurse must avoid giving false hope while also recognizing the family’s grief. Statements should be consistent with the information provided by physicians and the healthcare team. The nurse can help the family understand that mechanical ventilation causes the chest to rise and fall and allows the heart to continue beating, but it does not mean that the brain is functioning. The nurse should advocate for the patient’s known wishes and ensure that the family’s concerns are heard. If disagreements continue, the nurse can request the involvement of an ethics committee, social worker, chaplain, or patient representative. A multidisciplinary meeting may help clarify the medical facts and address the emotional, cultural, and religious concerns of the family.

Organ Donation

Brain death can create the possibility of organ donation because circulation can be temporarily maintained through mechanical support. Organ donation can save several lives, but it must be approached sensitively. The healthcare team should first establish and communicate the diagnosis of death before discussing donation. The organ procurement organization should determine whether Stephen is a registered donor or whether the family can authorize donation. The decision must be voluntary and free from pressure. The medical professionals responsible for declaring brain death should remain separate from the transplant team to avoid conflicts of interest. Organ donation may provide comfort to some families because it allows the patient to help others. However, other families may object based on personal or religious beliefs. Their concerns should be treated respectfully and according to applicable law.

Recommended Approach

The healthcare team should ensure that all brain-death testing has been completed correctly and documented. A second physician evaluation may provide additional reassurance to the family. The team should arrange a formal meeting with the family and explain the results in simple language. The family should be given time to ask questions and express their emotions. A chaplain or cultural representative may be included when appropriate. The team should explain that Stephen has died and that the machines are temporarily supporting his organs rather than treating a recoverable condition. If the family continues to refuse withdrawal of life support, the hospital ethics committee and legal department should review the case. A limited period of accommodation may be appropriate, but indefinite continuation of treatment is generally not ethically or medically required after legal death has been established.

Conclusion

The case of Stephen demonstrates the complex ethical and legal issues associated with brain death. The diagnosis can be difficult for families to understand because the patient's body may appear alive while supported by machines. Healthcare professionals must balance respect for the family with their obligation to follow medical standards and legal definitions of death. (President's Commission, 1981) Clear communication, compassion, accurate testing, and multidisciplinary support are essential. The nurse plays a significant role in supporting the family, advocating for the patient, and facilitating communication. When brain death has been properly diagnosed, continued life support does not restore the patient and may be considered medically futile. Decisions regarding withdrawal of support and organ donation should be made respectfully, ethically, and according to the law.

References

Greer, D. M., Kirschen, M. P., Lewis, A., et al. (2023). Pediatric and adult brain death/death by neurologic criteria consensus guideline. *Neurology, 101*(24), 1112–1132.

President’s Commission for the Study of Ethical Problems in Medicine and Biomedical and Behavioral Research. (1981). *Defining death: Medical, legal and ethical issues in the determination of death*. U.S. Government Printing Office.

Uniform Determination of Death Act. (1981). National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws.