

Black History Report on Thurgood Marshall

Posted on May 4, 2018

View this essay online:

<https://academic-master.com/black-history-report-on-thurgood-marshall/>

Thurgood Marshall's Legal Legacy

Thurgood Marshall is remembered as the first African American justice of the U.S. Supreme Court, but his greatest historical importance began decades before his appointment in 1967. As a lawyer for the NAACP and later the NAACP Legal Defense and Educational Fund, Marshall helped build a deliberate litigation strategy against the legal architecture of Jim Crow. He did not simply argue that segregation was morally wrong. He and his colleagues identified weaknesses in the “separate but equal” doctrine, developed factual records, selected cases capable of creating precedent, and gradually forced courts to confront the contradiction between racial segregation and the Equal Protection Clause. The Legal Defense Fund describes Marshall as the leading architect of the strategy that ultimately dismantled state-sponsored segregation, culminating in *Brown v. Board of Education* in 1954 (NAACP Legal Defense Fund, 2026a). His later service as a federal appellate judge, U.S. solicitor general, and Supreme Court justice extended the same concern with equal citizenship, criminal procedure, access to courts, and the practical effects of law on people with the least political power. A Black history report on Marshall therefore should not reduce him to one famous case; his career demonstrates how disciplined legal strategy can transform constitutional principles into enforceable rights.

Howard Law School

Marshall was born in Baltimore in 1908 and grew up in a society structured by formal racial segregation. He attended Lincoln University in Pennsylvania and then Howard University School of Law because the University of Maryland law school excluded Black students. At Howard, Marshall studied under Charles Hamilton Houston, the dean and legal strategist who believed lawyers could dismantle segregation by forcing states either to provide genuinely equal facilities or abandon racial separation. The Library of Congress records that Marshall graduated from Howard in 1933 at the top of his class and soon began legal practice in Baltimore before joining the NAACP's legal work (Library of Congress, 2025).

Marshall's early victory in *Murray v. Pearson* in Maryland was symbolically powerful because he helped challenge the same segregated law school that had excluded him. Working with Houston, he argued that the state could not satisfy its constitutional obligations by sending Black students elsewhere. The case became part of a larger campaign targeting segregation in graduate and professional education. This incremental strategy mattered because it built precedent before the

Supreme Court was ready to overrule *Plessy v. Ferguson* directly. Marshall's approach combined legal doctrine with painstaking factual preparation: if states claimed separate institutions were equal, lawyers documented the measurable inequalities in faculty, libraries, facilities, curricula, and access.

Road to Brown

It is misleading to describe *Brown v. Board of Education* as the work of Marshall alone. The legal campaign involved Charles Hamilton Houston, Robert Carter, Constance Baker Motley, Jack Greenberg, Spottswood Robinson, Oliver Hill, James Nabrit, George E. C. Hayes, Louis Redding, local attorneys, plaintiffs, parents, social scientists, and many others. LDF's current historical materials emphasize that Brown emerged from a coordinated series of cases attacking "separate but equal" across several jurisdictions and from years of strategic litigation (NAACP Legal Defense Fund, 2024; 2026b). Marshall's leadership was crucial because he coordinated the national legal strategy, argued before the Supreme Court, and translated the work of a large legal team into a constitutional claim the Court could accept unanimously.

Brown consolidated cases from Kansas, South Carolina, Virginia, Delaware, and the District of Columbia. On May 17, 1954, the Supreme Court held that racial segregation in public schools violated the Equal Protection Clause because separate educational facilities are inherently unequal. The National Archives' 2024 commemoration of Brown's seventieth anniversary described the decision as a defining moment that altered the legal landscape of education and helped inspire a generation of civil-rights activism (National Archives, 2024). Brown did not instantly integrate American schools. Massive resistance, delay, local defiance, and further litigation followed. Marshall's importance therefore lay partly in understanding that landmark decisions require continued enforcement after the headline victory.

Before Brown, Marshall had already argued cases involving voting rights, criminal procedure, housing discrimination, and unequal education. He won most of the cases he argued before the Supreme Court as a civil-rights lawyer, helping establish precedents that weakened legal segregation across several areas of public life. LDF records describe him as managing a nationwide docket that at times included hundreds of cases, often requiring dangerous travel through the segregated South (NAACP Legal Defense Fund, 2026a). His work placed him in courtrooms where local officials, police, jurors, and judges could be openly hostile to Black attorneys and plaintiffs.

This professional courage matters to Black history because legal advocacy was occurring alongside physical danger. Civil-rights lawyers challenged institutions that controlled policing, schools, elections, housing, and local government. Marshall's litigation strategy depended on constitutional law, but it also depended on Black communities willing to serve as plaintiffs, testify, risk retaliation, and continue cases over many years. His career therefore represents an institutional form of the broader civil-rights movement: courtroom victories were inseparable from organized communities and from people willing to make abstract constitutional rights concrete.

Federal Service

President John F. Kennedy appointed Marshall to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit in 1961. President Lyndon B. Johnson then appointed him solicitor general in 1965, making him the first African American to hold that office. As solicitor general, Marshall represented the federal government before the Supreme Court and had to defend institutional positions rather than act solely as a civil-rights advocate. The Library of Congress's Marshall Papers document this progression from appellate judge to solicitor general to Supreme Court justice and preserve the case files and memoranda associated with those roles (Library of Congress, 2025).

Johnson nominated Marshall to the Supreme Court in 1967, and the Senate confirmed him that August. His appointment was historically significant, but Marshall rejected the idea that his role was symbolic only. He entered the Court with decades of trial and appellate experience and with an unusually direct understanding of how legal rules affected Black defendants, workers, students, prisoners, and poor litigants. That practical background shaped his judicial method. He often asked not merely whether a government rule was formally neutral but what it actually did to people whose rights depended on courts being willing to enforce constitutional guarantees.

Supreme Court Jurisprudence

Marshall served on the Supreme Court from 1967 until 1991. His jurisprudence covered far more than race. He wrote or joined opinions involving freedom of speech, privacy, criminal procedure, prisoners' rights, education, affirmative action, due process, and access to legal resources. LDF highlights decisions such as *Stanley v. Georgia* and *Bounds v. Smith*, where Marshall's opinions emphasized individual liberty and meaningful access to courts (NAACP Legal Defense Fund, 2026a). He also became a consistent opponent of capital punishment, eventually dissenting repeatedly when the Court allowed executions to proceed.

Marshall's constitutional approach was grounded in history but resistant to treating historical injustice as irrelevant once formal segregation laws disappeared. In affirmative-action cases, he argued that centuries of exclusion mattered when evaluating remedies intended to expand opportunity. He believed equal protection should be interpreted in light of the actual social consequences of discrimination, not as a rule requiring the government to ignore race after government itself had used race to create inequality. This approach sometimes placed him in dissent as the Court became more conservative in the 1970s and 1980s. His dissents are important because they preserved alternative constitutional arguments that later scholars, lawyers, and judges continued to debate.

Institutional Legacy

Marshall's legacy is often summarized through firsts: first Black Supreme Court justice, first Black solicitor general, architect of *Brown*. Those milestones are important, but they can obscure the more durable institutional effect of his work. He helped transform the Legal Defense Fund into a sophisticated litigation organization capable of combining local lawsuits with national constitutional strategy. He helped establish that civil-rights litigation could expose structural discrimination rather than only remedy individual mistreatment. He also demonstrated that Black lawyers could exercise authority at the highest levels of a legal profession that had excluded them systematically.

The continuing significance of *Brown* illustrates both achievement and unfinished work. At the seventieth anniversary in 2024, former Marshall clerks and legal scholars discussed how *Brown* inspired enormous political change while school inequality and racial separation remained unresolved in many communities (National Archives, 2024). That tension reflects Marshall's broader legacy. His cases changed what government was legally permitted to do, but law alone could not erase residential segregation, economic inequality, unequal school resources, or discriminatory practices that survived formal Jim Crow. Marshall understood this difference between legal victory and social completion. His career was built on the belief that courts can create enforceable rights, but those rights still require institutions and citizens willing to protect them.

Conclusion

Thurgood Marshall's place in Black history rests on far more than his appointment to the Supreme Court. His most consequential work began as a civil-rights lawyer who helped design and execute a long-term strategy against state-sponsored segregation. At Howard Law School, Charles Hamilton Houston taught him to use constitutional litigation systematically; at the NAACP and LDF, Marshall transformed that strategy into victories involving education, voting, criminal justice, and equal citizenship. *Brown v. Board of Education* became the most famous result, but *Brown* depended on years of prior cases, collaborative legal work, courageous plaintiffs, and continued enforcement after the decision. Marshall's later service as appellate judge, solicitor general, and Supreme Court justice expanded his influence to broader questions of liberty, due process, punishment, and access to justice. His career demonstrates that constitutional change rarely arrives through one dramatic case. It is built through preparation, precedent, institutions, persistence, and people willing to demand that rights written on paper be applied in actual life.

References

Library of Congress. (2025). *Thurgood Marshall Papers*. Manuscript Division.

NAACP Legal Defense Fund. (2024). *Brown at 70: Tracing the Legacy and History of Brown v. Board of*

Education.

NAACP Legal Defense Fund. (2026a). *Who Was Thurgood Marshall?*

NAACP Legal Defense Fund. (2026b). *Brown v. Board of Education: The Case That Changed America.*

National Archives. (2024). *National Archives Panel Examines Legacy of Brown v. Board on 70th Anniversary.*

United States Courts. (2026). *Justice Thurgood Marshall Profile—Brown v. Board of Education Re-enactment.*

Brown v. Board of Education, 347 U.S. 483 (1954). Primary legal source.