Biological Characteristics and Scientific Classification of Viruses

Posted on May 1, 2020

Viruses are pervasive biological entities associated with organisms in every domain of cellular life. They infect animals, plants, fungi, bacteria, archaea, and many unicellular eukaryotes, and viral genetic material is also found integrated into host genomes. The original essay correctly emphasized that viruses differ fundamentally from cellular microorganisms: they do not possess an independent metabolism, they do not grow by cell division, and they require a host cell to produce new virions. It also presented the long-standing debate over whether viruses should be considered living. That debate cannot be resolved only by stating that living things reproduce, because many biological entities depend on other organisms and because “life” has no single universally accepted definition. A more precise conclusion is that virions are metabolically inactive particles outside cells, while viral replication is a dynamic biological process carried out through the interaction of a viral genome with a host cell. Viruses occupy a boundary region in biology and have profoundly influenced evolution even though they are generally excluded from the cellular tree of life.

Basic Biological Characteristics

A complete virus particle, called a virion, contains a genome enclosed within a protein coat known as a capsid. Some viruses also possess a lipid envelope derived largely from host-cell membranes and modified with viral proteins. The genome may consist of DNA or RNA and may be single-stranded or double-stranded, linear or circular, and contained in one molecule or several segments. The original essay stated that viruses have either DNA or RNA but not both. This is broadly correct when referring to the genetic material packaged as the viral genome within a virion, although replication may involve intermediate forms of the other nucleic acid. Retroviruses, for example, package RNA but produce a DNA copy during infection. Viruses vary enormously in size and complexity. Many are smaller than bacteria, but giant viruses can approach small cellular organisms in physical dimensions and gene content.

Viruses Are Not Cells

Viruses are described as acellular because they lack the organization common to cells. They do not have a cytoplasmic membrane surrounding a metabolically active cytoplasm in the ordinary cellular sense, and they do not contain ribosomes capable of translating proteins. They cannot independently generate ATP, synthesize all required macromolecules, maintain homeostasis, or divide. A bacterium may use nutrients and reproduce in a suitable cell-free medium, but a virus must enter or otherwise

exploit a susceptible cell. For this reason, viruses are obligate intracellular parasites. The term does not mean that all viruses cause severe disease. Many infections are asymptomatic, some viruses coexist with hosts for long periods, and bacteriophages can influence microbial populations without directly affecting humans. The defining dependence concerns replication, not necessarily pathogenicity.

Structure of the Capsid

The capsid protects the genome and helps deliver it to a host cell. It is built from repeating protein subunits, allowing a virus to construct a relatively large protective structure using a limited amount of genetic information. Capsids commonly display helical, icosahedral, or more complex symmetry. Helical capsids arrange proteins around the genome in a spiral, while icosahedral capsids use a geometrically efficient shell with 20 triangular faces. Bacteriophages may combine an icosahedral head with a tail apparatus used to attach to and penetrate bacterial cells. Viral structure is closely related to transmission and stability. Non-enveloped viruses often tolerate drying, detergents, or gastrointestinal conditions better than enveloped viruses, whereas a lipid envelope can support membrane fusion but is vulnerable to soap and environmental disruption.

Viral Envelopes and Surface Proteins

Enveloped viruses acquire membranes during budding or movement through host-cell compartments. Viral glycoproteins embedded in the envelope bind receptors and may mediate fusion with cellular membranes. These proteins are frequent targets of neutralizing antibodies and vaccines because they are exposed on the virion surface. However, they may also evolve under immune pressure. The envelope’s dependence on lipids explains why handwashing with soap is effective against many enveloped viruses: surfactants disrupt lipid organization and help remove particles. Not every virus is enveloped, so control methods must consider the specific agent. Structural classification can therefore inform infection prevention without serving as a complete taxonomy.

Host Range and Cellular Tropism

A virus can infect only cells that support the necessary stages of attachment, entry, genome expression, replication, assembly, and release. The presence of a receptor is important but not always sufficient. Intracellular factors, immune defenses, temperature, tissue environment, and access to the cell also influence susceptibility. Host range describes the species a virus can infect, while tropism describes the cells or tissues favored within a host. Some viruses have narrow host ranges; others cross species barriers. Zoonotic emergence can occur when ecological contact, viral variation, and host susceptibility allow infection of a new species. This process is not purposeful. Viral evolution results from variation, selection, genetic drift, recombination, reassortment in segmented viruses, and

population dynamics.

The Replication Cycle

Although replication differs among viral groups, a general cycle includes attachment, entry, uncoating, genome expression, genome replication, assembly, and release. Attachment occurs when viral molecules interact with a cell surface. Entry may involve membrane fusion, endocytosis, direct penetration, or injection of a genome. Uncoating exposes the genetic material. The virus then redirects cellular machinery while supplying viral proteins required for replication. New genomes and structural proteins assemble into progeny virions, which leave through cell lysis, budding, exocytosis, or other pathways. The original essay described a virus injecting DNA and destroying the cell. That pattern applies to some bacteriophages and lytic infections but is not universal. Many animal viruses enter as complete or partially complete particles, RNA viruses do not inject DNA, and persistent infections may release virions without immediate cell death.

DNA Viruses

DNA viruses use diverse strategies. Many double-stranded DNA viruses replicate in the nucleus and depend partly on host enzymes, although large viruses may encode much of their own replication machinery. Single-stranded DNA viruses first produce a complementary strand. Some DNA viruses establish latency or persistence, maintaining their genomes for extended periods. Herpesviruses, for example, can remain latent in particular cells and reactivate later. Viral DNA may also integrate into a host chromosome, either as a normal stage of replication or as an occasional event. Integration can affect host gene regulation and evolution. DNA viruses generally have lower mutation rates than many RNA viruses because their polymerases or host enzymes may provide better proofreading, but this is a tendency rather than an absolute rule.

RNA Viruses

RNA viruses face the challenge that host cells do not ordinarily copy RNA from RNA. They therefore encode or carry an RNA-dependent RNA polymerase. Positive-sense RNA genomes can often function directly as messenger RNA, while negative-sense genomes must first be copied into a readable form. Double-stranded RNA viruses also require specialized transcription. Many RNA polymerases have limited proofreading, contributing to rapid genetic variation, although some RNA viruses such as coronaviruses possess proofreading functions that improve replication fidelity. High mutation rates do not mean that every mutation is beneficial; most are neutral or harmful, and viable evolution is constrained by structure and function.

Reverse-Transcribing Viruses

Retroviruses package RNA and use reverse transcriptase to create DNA, which integrates into the host genome as a provirus. Hepatitis B virus uses a different reverse-transcribing strategy: it packages DNA but replicates through an RNA intermediate. These examples demonstrate why genome type alone does not fully explain replication. The Baltimore classification groups viruses according to the relationship between their genome and the production of messenger RNA. It includes double-stranded DNA, single-stranded DNA, double-stranded RNA, positive-sense single-stranded RNA, negative-sense single-stranded RNA, RNA reverse-transcribing, and DNA reverse-transcribing groups. This system is especially useful for understanding molecular strategy, but it is not a substitute for evolutionary taxonomy.

Scientific Classification by the ICTV

The International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses develops and maintains the official hierarchical classification and nomenclature of virus taxa. Modern virus taxonomy uses ranks including realm, kingdom, phylum, class, order, family, genus, and species, with optional intermediate ranks. Classification increasingly relies on genome sequence, replication machinery, evolutionary relationships, virion structure, host range, and other biological properties. The ICTV's 2025 taxonomy release, ratified in 2026, recognized 10 realms and more than 17,000 species, illustrating how rapidly viral diversity is being discovered and organized. Taxonomic names refer to groups, while individual viruses are physical entities. A virus species is therefore not identical to a particular isolate or particle. (International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses, 2026)

Taxonomy and Disease Names

Confusion can arise because a virus, its species, and the disease it causes may have different names. Taxonomy seeks stable labels for evolutionary classification, whereas disease naming is shaped by clinical and public-health communication. One virus can cause several syndromes, and a similar syndrome can be caused by different viruses. Accurate writing should distinguish the infectious agent from the condition. Viruses should also not be described as bacteria or treated with antibiotics unless a bacterial coinfection exists. Antiviral drugs target specific viral or host processes and are not interchangeable across unrelated viruses.

Laboratory Cultivation

Because viruses require cells, they cannot be grown on ordinary nutrient agar in the same way as many bacteria. Laboratories cultivate them in cell cultures, embryonated eggs, suitable animals, plants, bacterial hosts, or specialized systems depending on the virus and purpose. The original essay

correctly referred to embryonated eggs but inaccurately described poliovirus production in “nonliving human cells.” Cell cultures used for viral growth are living cells maintained under controlled conditions. Detection may involve cytopathic effects, plaques, antigen tests, nucleic-acid amplification, sequencing, electron microscopy, or serology. Modern diagnostics often identify viral genomes without culturing the agent, which can be faster and safer.

Viruses and the Definition of Life

Arguments against considering viruses alive emphasize their lack of cells, metabolism, homeostasis, ribosomes, and independent reproduction. A purified virion can remain inert until it contacts a suitable host. Arguments for viewing viruses as part of life emphasize that viral populations evolve, possess heredity, interact with environments, and construct complex replication systems inside cells. Some scholars distinguish the inactive virion from the infected-cell state, sometimes called a “virocell,” in which viral information reorganizes cellular activity. The disagreement partly reflects which criteria are chosen. If autonomous cellular metabolism is required, viruses are not alive. If Darwinian evolution and biological information are central, viruses share important properties of living systems. Scientific usefulness may be better served by describing characteristics precisely rather than forcing all entities into a binary category. (Moreira & López-García, 2009)

My Position on the Living–Nonliving Debate

The original essay concluded that viruses should not be labeled living organisms because they cannot consume energy, digest food, or reproduce independently. I retain the main conclusion but would state it more carefully. A virion is not a living cell and does not independently carry out the processes normally used to define an organism. It is therefore reasonable in introductory biology to classify viruses as nonliving infectious entities. However, they are not merely passive chemicals. Viral genomes evolve, manipulate cells, participate in ecosystems, and have shaped cellular evolution. Describing viruses as nonliving should not imply that they are simple or biologically unimportant. They are genetic systems dependent on cellular life. (Villarreal, 2004)

Ecological Importance

Viruses influence ecosystems by regulating host populations, transferring genes, and altering nutrient cycles. In oceans, viral infection of microorganisms releases organic matter that affects carbon and nutrient flow. Bacteriophages shape bacterial communities and can carry genes associated with toxins, metabolism, or antimicrobial resistance. Plant viruses influence agriculture and natural populations. Persistent and endogenous viral elements contribute to genetic innovation. For example, ancient retroviral sequences make up a meaningful portion of many animal genomes, and some have been recruited for host functions. The relationship between viruses and life is therefore not limited to

disease. (Koonin et al., 2020)

Medical and Public-Health Importance

Some viruses cause acute, chronic, latent, congenital, or cancer-associated disease. Prevention may involve vaccination, sanitation, vector control, ventilation, safe blood practices, protective behavior, and surveillance. Treatment may target viral polymerases, proteases, entry, release, or host processes. Public-health response depends on transmission route, incubation, severity, population immunity, and available interventions. Viral evolution requires monitoring but should not be described as an inevitable progression toward greater danger. Changes that improve transmission in one context may reduce performance in another, and virulence is shaped by multiple factors.

Origins and Evolution

The origin of viruses is unresolved, and a single explanation may not apply to all groups. Hypotheses include reduction from cellular parasites, escape of genetic elements from cells, and origins from ancient pre-cellular replicators. The enormous differences among viral replication proteins and structures suggest deep and possibly multiple evolutionary histories. Some viral lineages may predate modern cellular groups, while others may have arisen later. Because viruses exchange genes with hosts and one another, reconstructing their history is difficult. Their evolution challenges the idea that life can be represented only by one simple branching tree. (Koonin et al., 2006)

Conclusion

Viruses are acellular infectious entities containing DNA or RNA genomes enclosed in protein and sometimes a lipid envelope. They lack independent metabolism, ribosomes, and cellular reproduction and must use susceptible host cells to generate new virions. Their replication strategies are diverse and can be understood through the Baltimore system, while official evolutionary classification is maintained by the ICTV. The original distinction between viruses and cellular microorganisms remains correct, but viruses do not all inject DNA or immediately destroy host cells, and laboratory cultivation requires living systems. I continue to regard virions as nonliving because they are not autonomous cellular organisms. At the same time, viruses participate in evolution, ecology, disease, and genetic innovation so extensively that they cannot be treated as biologically inactive matter. They occupy a complex boundary between chemistry and cellular life.

References

International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses. (2026). *Virus taxonomy: 2025 release, Master Species List 41*.

Koonin, E. V., Dolja, V. V., & Krupovic, M. (2020). The healthy human virome: From virus–host symbiosis to disease. *Current Opinion in Virology, 47*, 86–94.

Koonin, E. V., Senkevich, T. G., & Dolja, V. V. (2006). The ancient virus world and evolution of cells. *Biology Direct, 1*, 29.

Moreira, D., & López-García, P. (2009). Ten reasons to exclude viruses from the tree of life. *Nature Reviews Microbiology, 7*(4), 306–311.

Villarreal, L. P. (2004). Are viruses alive? *Scientific American, 291*(6), 100–105.