Behavioral and Emotional Assessment of a Child Using the BESS Framework

Posted on April 19, 2018

View this essay online:
https://academic-master.com/behavioral-and-emotional-assessment-of-a-child-using-the-bess-framework/

Introduction

Scott's case describes a child whose behavior, learning, communication, and emotional responses vary across settings and activities, making a broad and careful assessment more appropriate than a quick diagnostic conclusion. The BASC-3 Behavioral and Emotional Screening System (BESS) can be useful because it is designed to identify behavioral and emotional strengths and possible areas of risk through reports from adults and, when developmentally appropriate, the student. However, BESS is a screening instrument rather than a diagnostic test, intelligence measure, or complete special-education evaluation. Its results should therefore be interpreted as one source of information within a larger assessment process that includes family history, teacher observations, direct observation, academic performance, communication, developmental information, and medical factors. A study-oriented analysis of Scott's case should focus on what the tool measures, how screening differs from diagnosis, why multiple informants matter, and how strengths and behavior functions guide intervention. This approach keeps the assessment educationally useful while protecting Scott from premature or stigmatizing labels (Pearson, 2026; Reynolds & Kamphaus, 2015).

Purpose of the BESS and the Importance of Multiple Informants

The assessment should begin with a clearly defined question. Rather than asking what is "wrong" with Scott, educators and family members should identify which behaviors interfere with learning, communication, safety, relationships, or participation; when those behaviors occur; and what conditions make them more or less likely. BESS can help organize this inquiry because it uses brief standardized forms designed to identify emotional and behavioral risk. Scores can indicate whether additional evaluation may be warranted, but they do not explain why a behavior occurs. A high-risk classification may reflect anxiety, communication difficulty, environmental stress, neurodevelopmental differences, or several interacting factors. Likewise, a low-risk score cannot guarantee the absence of difficulty if the behavior appears mainly in one setting. Screening is therefore best understood as a decision-support step that helps determine whether closer investigation is needed rather than as a final answer about Scott's development, diagnosis, eligibility,

or educational placement.

Information from multiple informants is essential because children often behave differently across home, school, community, and structured programs. Scott's mother can provide developmental history, family routines, sleep patterns, eating behavior, tantrum history, aggression, interests, and changes over time. Teachers can describe academic demands, peer interactions, transitions, communication, task completion, and responses to classroom structure. Scott himself may contribute information through conversation, visual supports, drawing, augmentative communication, or other developmentally appropriate methods. Differences among raters should not automatically be treated as errors. If a parent reports calm behavior during train-related activities while a teacher observes distress during unpredictable transitions, the contrast can reveal environmental conditions that influence success. For students learning assessment principles, this demonstrates why behavior should be interpreted contextually. A child's actions are not isolated traits; they emerge from interactions among personal characteristics, task demands, relationships, communication opportunities, and environmental structure.

Strengths-Based Assessment and Functional Understanding of Behavior

Scott's interests in buildings, trains, windows, monuments, and environmental details should be treated as potential strengths rather than merely as unusual or restrictive interests. These preferences may reflect strong visual attention, memory for detail, pattern recognition, persistence, or a reliable route into communication and learning. Strengths-based assessment asks not only where the child struggles but also where engagement is sustained and what conditions make success more likely. A teacher might use transportation schedules for mathematics, architecture for reading or vocabulary, or a visual sequence based on train stations to support transitions. Such strategies are educationally valuable because they connect new learning with an existing source of motivation. They also prevent assessment from becoming a list of deficits. For study purposes, this is an important principle: high-quality educational evaluation examines competencies, interests, supports, and adaptive opportunities alongside risk. Intervention becomes stronger when it builds from what the student can already do rather than attempting to replace the child's identity with a standardized model of typical behavior.

Behavior should also be analyzed in terms of function. A tantrum, refusal, or aggressive act may help a child escape a difficult task, delay a transition, obtain attention, communicate pain, reach a preferred activity, or regulate overwhelming sensory input. Because the same outward behavior can serve different purposes, labels such as "aggressive" or "noncompliant" provide less useful information than observable descriptions. A functional behavioral assessment might record what occurs immediately before Scott hits, what the behavior looks like, how adults respond, and what happens afterward. If hitting consistently follows an abrupt transition from a preferred activity and

results in additional delay, the behavior may be partly maintained by escape. Intervention can then teach a safer replacement, such as requesting more time, using a visual countdown, or choosing between transition options. This illustrates a broader academic principle: assessment should lead to testable hypotheses about behavior and to interventions connected logically with those hypotheses.

Developmental, Medical, Cultural, and Educational Considerations

Behavioral concerns should be interpreted within Scott's broader developmental and medical history. Sleep problems, hearing or vision difficulties, pain, seizures, medication effects, nutrition, language delays, anxiety, trauma, sensory differences, or other neurodevelopmental factors can influence behavior in ways that resemble defiance or emotional disturbance. Educators should not diagnose medical conditions, but they should identify when referral to appropriate professionals is needed. Scott's focused interests, history of early screening, difficulty with some activities, and behavioral responses may lead a team to consider possibilities such as autism, attention difficulties, communication disorders, anxiety, intellectual disability, or sensory differences. The available information is not sufficient to select one diagnosis. A comprehensive evaluation may therefore include cognitive and academic testing, communication assessment, adaptive behavior, developmental history, direct observation, occupational or sensory information, and relevant medical review. The central aim is to understand educational need rather than force the evidence into a predetermined category.

Cultural and family context is equally important because expectations for eye contact, independence, emotional expression, discipline, play, and adult-child interaction vary across communities. Assessment instruments are standardized, but interpretation still requires professional judgment about language, culture, opportunity, and context. Families should receive explanations in accessible language and should be invited to identify strengths, urgent concerns, and meaningful goals. Educational decisions must also follow legal safeguards. Under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, schools must use multiple tools and strategies and may not rely on a single measure as the sole basis for determining disability or placement. If Scott qualifies for special education, an Individualized Education Program should connect present levels, measurable goals, services, accommodations, and progress monitoring. These protections reinforce a key study principle: screening information becomes educationally meaningful only when it is integrated with broader evidence and individualized decision-making.

Intervention, Progress Monitoring, and Ethical

Practice

Positive behavioral intervention should focus on teaching skills and changing conditions rather than merely suppressing visible behavior. Supports may include predictable routines, visual schedules, structured choices, communication instruction, sensory breaks, calm spaces, explicit teaching of social expectations, and reinforcement of safer replacement behaviors. The purpose is not to make Scott appear indistinguishable from classmates at any cost; it is to increase safety, participation, autonomy, communication, and access to learning. If aggression is a concern, the team also needs an immediate safety plan that protects Scott and others while minimizing escalation. Restrictive practices should never become routine substitutes for assessment or instruction. This approach reflects the principles of positive behavioral support, which emphasize prevention, functional understanding, and environmental modification rather than punishment alone (Sugai & Horner, 2009). For students studying behavioral assessment, the case demonstrates how evaluation and intervention form a continuous cycle: identify patterns, develop hypotheses, implement supports, collect new data, and revise the plan according to outcomes.

Progress monitoring should measure changes that matter in Scott's daily life rather than focusing only on standardized scores. Useful indicators may include the frequency or duration of tantrums, successful transitions, independent communication, participation in group activities, time engaged in learning, or use of replacement skills. Broad BESS scores can be repeated at appropriate intervals, but everyday intervention decisions usually require more specific behavioral data. Ethical practice also requires confidentiality, respectful language, and clear communication with the family about who can access assessment records and how information will be used. Terms such as "disturbed," "abnormal," or "bad" should be replaced with objective descriptions of observable behavior and educational need. Digital scoring systems should be reviewed for privacy and data-retention practices. These principles make the case useful as a study source because they show that valid assessment depends not only on psychometric tools but also on professional ethics, context, dignity, and responsible interpretation.

Conclusion

The BASC-3 BESS is appropriate as one component of Scott's assessment because it provides a brief, standardized, multi-informant screen of behavioral and emotional strengths and risk. Its value lies in helping a school decide whether additional evaluation is necessary, not in establishing a diagnosis or determining special-education placement by itself. A sound assessment process combines BESS results with family participation, teacher observations, student voice, direct observation, developmental and medical information, academic and communication assessment, functional analysis, and attention to Scott's strengths. The resulting intervention should be individualized, measurable, positive, and connected to the function of behavior rather than based on punishment or labels. For academic study, Scott's case illustrates the distinction between screening and diagnosis,

the importance of multiple informants, the role of cultural and developmental context, and the need to connect assessment with evidence-based educational planning. The ultimate goal is a plan that reduces barriers, protects safety, expands participation, and uses existing strengths to build greater independence and learning.

References

Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, 20 U.S.C. § 1400 et seq.

Pearson. (2026). *BASC-3 Behavioral and Emotional Screening System*.

Reynolds, C. R., & Kamphaus, R. W. (2015). *BASC-3 Behavioral and Emotional Screening System Manual*. Pearson.

Sugai, G., & Horner, R. H. (2009). Defining and describing schoolwide positive behavior support. In W. Sailor et al. (Eds.), *Handbook of Positive Behavior Support*. Springer.