

Barbarians and Nubia: Comparative Documentary Analysis

Posted on October 22, 2019

Introduction: Two Documentaries and the Problem of the “Barbarian”

Terry Jones’s *Barbarians* episode “The End of the World” and the “Nubia” episode of *Lost Kingdoms of Africa* challenge histories written from the viewpoint of dominant empires. Both documentaries ask viewers to reconsider peoples who were dismissed as primitive, violent, or historically unimportant. The first revisits the Huns and Vandals during the decline of the Western Roman Empire; the second examines Nubian and Kushite civilization south of Egypt. Their shared strength is the recovery of achievements obscured by hostile or incomplete sources. Their shared risk is that, in correcting old stereotypes, they sometimes replace one simple story with another.

“The End of the World”: The Documentary’s Central Argument

The final episode of *Barbarians* argues that Rome’s enemies were not mindless destroyers and that the Western Empire’s collapse cannot be explained by an invasion of uncivilized tribes. It focuses on Attila and the Huns, who extracted tribute and pressured Roman territories, and on Gaiseric and the Vandals, who established a kingdom in North Africa and sacked Rome in 455 CE. The documentary emphasizes strategy, religious complexity, political negotiation, and administrative capacity rather than treating these groups as a natural force of destruction.

This revision is important because the word “barbarian” originated as an outsider label. Roman writers had political reasons to depict enemies as irrational or inferior. Christian writers later interpreted disasters through moral and religious frameworks. A modern documentary can expose those biases, but it must also recognize that Hunnic and Vandal warfare caused real displacement and violence. Rejecting Roman propaganda does not require romanticizing conquest.

The Huns, Attila, and Roman Dependence

The Huns did not simply attack a healthy empire from two directions. By the fifth century, Roman politics already depended on shifting alliances, federate troops, imperial rivalry, taxation, and payments to external powers. Attila exploited this divided environment. Tribute was not merely

evidence that Rome was weak; it was also a diplomatic instrument used by empires to buy time, manage borders, and redirect conflict. Hunnic power rested on mobility, military coalitions, leadership, and the ability to absorb or subordinate other groups.

Sources on the Huns are limited and often written by their opponents. Priscus, who visited Attila's court, offers evidence of diplomatic ceremony and social organization that complicates caricatures of nomadic savagery. The documentary is strongest when it shows that military skill and political intelligence existed outside Roman institutions. It is weaker if "forward-thinking" becomes a vague compliment rather than a claim supported by particular practices.

The Vandals and the North African Kingdom

Gaiseric's Vandals crossed into North Africa and captured Carthage in 439. Control of wealthy African provinces deprived the Western Roman government of tax revenue and grain resources and gave the Vandals maritime power. The sack of Rome in 455 was serious, but the Vandals did not literally destroy the city or end Roman civilization. The Western imperial office continued until 476, and Roman legal, Christian, linguistic, and administrative traditions survived in multiple successor states.

The Vandals were Christians, but they followed Arian Christianity rather than the Nicene faith supported by Roman Catholic authorities. Religious conflict shaped hostile accounts of their rule. Their kingdom maintained aspects of Roman administration and participated in Mediterranean trade, yet it also involved conquest, property transfer, and persecution at particular moments. The documentary usefully disputes the modern meaning of "vandalism," but a balanced history must avoid turning the Vandal kingdom into an uncomplicated model of tolerance.

Why the Western Roman Empire Declined

The loss of North Africa was a major blow, but the Western Empire did not fall for one reason. Political instability, civil wars, succession crises, fiscal strain, unequal regional resources, military dependence on federate forces, elite competition, and repeated external pressures interacted over time. The eastern empire faced many of the same enemies but possessed stronger revenues, more defensible strategic centers, and greater administrative continuity.

The episode's tax-base argument is therefore valuable when treated as one component of a multicausal explanation. North African revenue mattered because armies, officials, fortifications, and diplomacy required money. Once the western government lost reliable taxation, its ability to respond to crises diminished. The documentary effectively directs attention from moral tales about decadence toward institutions and resources, but it should not imply that economics alone determined the outcome.

Documentary Technique and Historical Persuasion

Terry Jones uses humor, travel, reenactment, ruins, and provocative narration to make historiography accessible. His approach asks viewers to notice that Roman voices dominate the archive. Visual visits to landscapes and artifacts give non-Roman peoples a physical presence that written stereotypes had denied them. This is valuable classroom material because it demonstrates that historical narratives are constructed from evidence rather than simply inherited.

However, the force of a revisionist documentary can encourage overstatement. Statements that “the barbarians were progressive” or “Rome fell because Africa stopped paying taxes” are memorable, but they need qualification. Students should separate evidence, interpretation, and rhetorical framing. Comparing the documentary with primary sources and archaeological scholarship helps preserve its critical energy without accepting every contrast at face value.

“Nubia”: Recovering a Civilization South of Egypt

The “Nubia” episode of *Lost Kingdoms of Africa* addresses another imbalance: the tendency to treat northeastern African history as an extension of Egypt. Nubia refers to a long region along the Nile in present-day southern Egypt and northern Sudan, while the kingdoms of Kerma, Kush, Napata, and Meroë occupied different periods and political centers. These societies developed monumental architecture, long-distance trade, military traditions, religious systems, royal institutions, and distinctive artistic forms.

The episode’s attention to pyramids, temples, urban remains, and royal burials corrects the idea that sub-Saharan Africa lacked complex ancient states. Yet the claim that Nubia’s origins can simply be traced to 10,000 BCE risks collapsing millennia of human settlement into one continuous kingdom. Archaeological chronology must distinguish early communities from later political formations.

Kushite Rule in Egypt and the Twenty-Fifth Dynasty

Kushite rulers from Napata conquered Egypt and governed as the Twenty-Fifth Dynasty during the eighth and seventh centuries BCE. Kings such as Piye and Taharqa adopted and reshaped Egyptian symbols while maintaining connections to Nubian religious and political traditions. Their rule demonstrates that influence along the Nile moved in more than one direction. Nubia was not merely a peripheral recipient of Egyptian civilization.

The documentary’s statement that a Nubian king once ruled Egypt is therefore broadly correct, but the significance lies in a dynasty rather than one surprising exception. Kushite power connected

regions through trade, warfare, temple patronage, and royal ideology. Assyrian invasions eventually ended Kushite control in Egypt, but the kingdom continued farther south.

Meroë, Writing, Technology, and Trade

The later Kushite center at Meroë developed its own writing system, now called Meroitic, although much of the language remains incompletely understood. This directly contradicts the suggestion that Nubia “failed to change” or develop writing. Meroitic rulers built pyramids and temples, traded across Africa and the Red Sea world, and produced iron and craft goods. Pottery styles are also important archaeological evidence, not signs of failure to adopt external standards.

Historical value should not be measured by whether a society copied another civilization’s writing or ceramics. The documentary is most effective when it treats local forms as evidence of creativity. It becomes less reliable when development is imagined as a single ladder leading toward one presumed standard.

Environment, Climate, and Political Change

Environmental change influenced Nile societies through rainfall, river conditions, pasture, agriculture, and trade routes. Yet it is too simple to say Nubia was destroyed only by climate. Political competition, changing trade networks, conflict with Aksum, internal transformations, and regional shifts all contributed to the decline of Meroë. Later Christian Nubian kingdoms—Nobadia, Makuria, and Alodia—demonstrate that Nubian civilization did not disappear when one political center weakened.

Climate explanations can be useful because they connect social institutions to ecological conditions. They become deterministic when people appear passive before nature. Societies respond through migration, technology, alliances, economic adaptation, and political reorganization. A better lesson is that environmental pressure interacts with human decisions.

Comparing the Two Documentaries

Both documentaries expose the power of labels. “Barbarian” enabled Roman writers to deny political complexity to enemies, while Eurocentric scholarship often treated Nubia as secondary to Egypt. Both films use material culture and landscape to challenge textual traditions. They also show that empires define civilization in ways that legitimize domination.

The comparison reveals different evidentiary problems. Hunnic history depends heavily on hostile written sources; Nubian history relies greatly on archaeology, inscriptions, architecture, and environmental evidence. In both cases, absence of familiar records does not prove absence of social organization. Nevertheless, revision must remain critical. The subjects should not be idealized merely

because earlier historians marginalized them.

Value for Classroom Use

These programs are useful when paired with source evaluation. Students can identify the narrator's thesis, list evidence, compare visual reconstruction with primary texts, and ask which voices remain absent. They can test claims about taxation, religious tolerance, climate, literacy, and collapse against scholarly accounts. This approach turns the documentaries from passive entertainment into exercises in historical reasoning.

The films also encourage students to question decline narratives. Rome continued culturally after the western imperial office ended, and Nubian political life continued after Meroë. "The end of the world" was often the end of one ruling structure rather than the disappearance of a population. Historical change is better understood as transformation, fragmentation, adaptation, and continuity.

Conclusion

"The End of the World" and "Nubia" succeed by challenging histories that equated Roman or Egyptian dominance with civilization itself. The Huns and Vandals possessed military and political systems; Kushite and Nubian societies built states, monuments, trade networks, and writing traditions. Yet neither documentary should be accepted without qualification. Western Rome declined through interacting political, fiscal, military, and regional pressures, while Nubian states changed through environmental, economic, and geopolitical processes rather than one climatic catastrophe. Their greatest educational contribution is not a new set of heroes and villains but a method: examine who created the historical label, what evidence survives, and how interpretation changes when marginalized societies become subjects rather than stereotypes.

End Notes

Gregory of Tours and other late antique writers should be read as situated sources rather than neutral transcripts.

Jones, Terry. *Barbarians: The End of the World*. BBC, 2006.

Lost Kingdoms of Africa: Nubia. BBC, 2010.

Merrills, A. H., and Richard Miles. *The Vandals*. Wiley-Blackwell, 2010.

Welsby, Derek A. *The Kingdom of Kush*. British Museum Press, 1996.