Anglo American Business Ethics and Stakeholder Responsibility

Posted on September 11, 2018

View this essay online:
https://academic-master.com/anglo-american-business-ethics-and-stakeholder-responsibility/

Business ethics examines how commercial decisions affect employees, communities, investors, governments, customers, and the environment. In mining, these questions are especially important because operations can alter land and water, create serious safety hazards, generate long-lived waste, and affect communities for decades beyond the productive life of a mine. Anglo American provides a useful case because its public commitments address safety, integrity, human rights, environmental responsibility, community engagement, anti-corruption, and responsible sourcing. Ethical analysis cannot stop at the existence of policies, however. A code of conduct states expectations; it does not prove that every operation consistently meets them. The more useful question is whether corporate systems identify material risks, include affected stakeholders, correct harm, and remain credible when commercial pressure makes responsible conduct difficult. In a high-risk industry, ethical credibility depends on the relationship between stated values, measurable outcomes, independent evidence, and the treatment of people who have the least bargaining power.

Stakeholder Ethics and Corporate Governance

Mining companies operate within a network of stakeholders whose interests may conflict. Shareholders seek financial return, employees and contractors need safe work and stable livelihoods, governments expect taxes and development, communities may depend on land and water, and future generations inherit environmental conditions after extraction ends. Stakeholder theory does not require every preference to receive equal weight; it requires decision-makers to recognize that people who bear significant risk cannot be treated merely as obstacles to profit. This principle is particularly important where bargaining power is unequal because a large corporation may have lawyers, engineers, capital, political access, and technical expertise that local residents do not possess. Ethical decision-making should therefore consider severity, vulnerability, reversibility, and informed participation rather than allowing the strongest party to define what level of harm is acceptable. Legal compliance remains essential, but when regulation is weak or incomplete, ethical judgment has to go further and examine rights, consequences, fairness, and responsibility (Freeman).

Anglo American's current governance framework includes a Code of Conduct, updated in June 2026, together with policies on human rights, responsible sourcing, safety, whistleblowing, community engagement, and business integrity. These documents create a structure through which expectations can be communicated to directors, employees, contractors, suppliers, consultants, agents, and

business partners. Their ethical significance depends on implementation rather than publication. A code becomes credible when people receive training, controls are tested, senior personnel are held to the same rules as everyone else, serious breaches are investigated, and lessons lead to measurable changes. It becomes weak when it operates mainly as reputation management. Anglo American's own materials recognize that a code is a reference point rather than proof that every standard has been achieved. That distinction is important because ethical governance is not the possession of policies; it is the ability to detect when actual conduct diverges from them and to respond without protecting powerful individuals or commercially valuable operations.

Worker Safety, Labor Rights, and Human Rights

Worker safety is among the clearest ethical duties in mining because employees and contractors face heavy equipment, ground instability, dust, fatigue, blasting, hazardous materials, and high-energy processes. Responsible safety management cannot depend only on telling workers to follow procedures. The organization must design hazards out of operations where possible, maintain equipment, control fatigue, provide competent supervision, protect stop-work authority, and investigate incidents honestly. Contractors should not receive weaker protection simply because risk has been outsourced; if commercial pressure encourages subcontractors to work faster, report fewer incidents, or accept unsafe conditions, the parent company remains ethically implicated. Performance therefore needs to be assessed through more than headline injury rates. Fatalities, serious incidents, occupational disease, contractor outcomes, high-potential events, and completion of corrective actions all provide evidence about whether safety is treated as a genuine operating value or as a slogan that weakens when production is under pressure.

Human-rights responsibility extends beyond immediate physical safety. Forced labor, discrimination, intimidation, retaliation against whistleblowers, abusive security practices, or interference with legitimate worker organization can all create serious ethical failures. Supply-chain due diligence is also necessary when purchasing decisions may contribute to labor or environmental abuse elsewhere. Anglo American's human-rights commitments and supplier standards provide a framework, but credible performance requires ongoing risk identification, confidential reporting, worker voice, accessible grievance mechanisms, and remedy where harm occurs. Whistleblowing systems are especially important because employees, contractors, and suppliers may encounter misconduct before senior management does. A high number of reports can indicate serious problems, but an unusually low number can also reflect fear or distrust. Ethical culture is revealed not by the absence of bad news but by whether people can raise bad news safely and whether the organization responds consistently when allegations involve senior or commercially important individuals (United Nations; OECD).

Communities, Consent, and Social Legitimacy

Mining can transform housing, traffic, employment, water availability, land use, cultural heritage, and local economies, so formal government approval does not automatically create social legitimacy. Meaningful stakeholder engagement should begin before major decisions become irreversible and continue through operation, closure, and post-closure monitoring. Communities need information that is understandable, timely, and specific enough to evaluate risk rather than promotional material that emphasizes only benefits. Women, Indigenous peoples, informal land users, displaced households, and other groups who may not hold formal political power require deliberate inclusion because official community leadership does not always represent everyone affected. Participation is ethically significant only when it can influence decisions. Consultation that occurs after the outcome has effectively been fixed may satisfy procedure without providing genuine voice, while grievance mechanisms that merely record complaints without investigation or remedy create the appearance of accountability without its substance.

Free, prior, and informed consent has a specific place in international standards involving Indigenous peoples and should not be used loosely as a synonym for general community approval. “Free” requires absence of coercion, “prior” means engagement before decisive action, and “informed” requires adequate understanding of likely consequences. Where land rights or consent are disputed, opposition should not automatically be treated as a communications problem because conflict may reveal unresolved historical claims, unequal distribution of risk, or distrust created by previous operations. Ethical community engagement therefore involves more than obtaining signatures. It requires culturally appropriate communication, access to independent advice, transparent impact assessment, and realistic mechanisms for remedy. A company may not be able to satisfy every demand, but it should be able to explain how affected people were heard, how competing interests were weighed, and why particular decisions were made.

Environment, Transparency, and Long-Term Responsibility

Environmental ethics in mining includes water use, biodiversity, tailings, air quality, greenhouse-gas emissions, land disturbance, waste, and closure. Concealing pollution, understating tailings risk, or delaying disclosure transfers danger from the company to people who did not choose it. Responsible practice requires monitoring, emergency preparedness, transparent reporting, and remediation when operations cause or contribute to harm. Mine closure deserves particular attention because environmental obligations continue after revenue stops. Planning should begin before extraction and include rehabilitation, financial assurance, water management, waste stability, and economic transition for affected communities. A company should not leave future taxpayers responsible for cleanup because closure costs were deferred during profitable years. Progressive rehabilitation can reduce disturbance, but restoration claims should remain realistic because some ecosystems and

cultural landscapes cannot be recreated fully once they have been fundamentally altered.

Transparency also extends to taxation, royalties, political engagement, ownership, procurement, and business relationships. Publishing payments to governments allows citizens to ask whether resource extraction produces public benefit, while anti-corruption controls should cover gifts, agents, intermediaries, political exposure, and supplier relationships. Ethical tax conduct means more than exploiting every available legal mechanism to shift profit away from the jurisdiction where extraction and impact occur. At the same time, companies do not control how governments spend revenue, and philanthropy should not become a substitute for properly funded public institutions. Environmental and social claims should also be specific enough to test. General statements about sustainability or a smaller footprint have little value if capital allocation, timelines, and operating decisions move in the opposite direction. Independent assurance, regulatory data, community evidence, and incident reporting help stakeholders distinguish measurable improvement from greenwashing.

Ethics under Commercial Pressure and Corporate Change

The most revealing ethical decisions often occur during cost reduction, restructuring, divestment, commodity-price pressure, or strategic transformation. A company may have strong policies during normal conditions yet weaken training, maintenance, community programs, or environmental spending when margins tighten. Ethical governance requires that essential duties survive those pressures. Asset sales create additional responsibilities because a seller should consider whether a buyer has the technical and financial capacity to manage environmental liabilities, worker safety, closure obligations, and community commitments. Transferring ownership should not become a method of transferring responsibility to an entity less capable of fulfilling it. Employees and local stakeholders also need timely information when decisions may affect jobs or long-term investment. This is why ethical evaluation should use multiple sources of evidence: corporate reports provide important data, but government inspections, court records, independent assurance, union information, community reports, academic research, and responsible journalism may reveal different parts of the same issue.

Conclusion

Business ethics provides a framework for judging how Anglo American’s decisions affect workers, communities, governments, investors, suppliers, and the environment. Ethical mining requires more than compliance statements. It involves protecting employees and contractors, respecting human rights, engaging communities before decisive action, maintaining credible grievance systems, preventing corruption, reporting economic contributions transparently, managing environmental risk, and planning responsibly for closure. Anglo American’s current Code of Conduct and related policies establish detailed expectations, but policies are starting points rather than proof of performance. The

strongest tests are measurable outcomes, independent evidence, the treatment of vulnerable stakeholders, the quality of remedy after harm, and whether stated values survive commercial pressure. In an industry capable of creating both economic opportunity and long-term social or environmental cost, ethical credibility depends on the consistency between what an organization says, what it does, and how it responds when those two things diverge.

References

1. Anglo American plc. *Integrated Annual Report 2025*. 2026.
2. Anglo American plc. *Code of Conduct*. Updated June 2026.
3. Anglo American plc. Corporate resources on ethical business, human rights, responsible sourcing, and sustainability.
4. Freeman, R. Edward. *Strategic Management: A Stakeholder Approach*. Cambridge University Press, 2010.
5. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. *Due Diligence Guidance for Meaningful Stakeholder Engagement in the Extractive Sector*. 2017.
6. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. *Handbook on Environmental Due Diligence in Mineral Supply Chains*. 2023.
7. United Nations. *Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights*. 2011.