Anglo American Business Ethics and Stakeholder Responsibility

Posted on September 11, 2018

Introduction

Business ethics concerns the principles and practices used to determine how commercial decisions affect people, institutions, and the environment. In mining, ethical responsibility is especially significant because operations alter land and water, create safety risks, involve long-lived waste facilities, and affect communities beyond the life of a mine. The original Anglo American case correctly identifies transparency, anti-corruption, labor rights, environmental protection, taxes, and community consent as stakeholder concerns. It should not assume, however, that publishing policies proves ethical performance. This analysis defines business ethics, gives examples of conduct stakeholders may regard as ethical or unethical, and applies stakeholder theory and due-diligence standards to Anglo American's current commitments. Ethical judgment requires evidence about outcomes, not only corporate intention.

Defining Business Ethics

Business ethics evaluates what companies ought to do when law, profit, stakeholder interests, and moral duties interact. Compliance is necessary but not always sufficient. A lawful activity may still impose unfair risk, exploit weak regulation, or conceal information that communities need. Ethical analysis can draw on duties, consequences, rights, justice, and character. A rights approach asks whether people's health, property, work, and participation are respected. A consequential approach compares benefits and harms, while justice examines how those outcomes are distributed. Virtue ethics focuses on honesty, courage, and accountability in organizational behavior. Responsible decisions usually require several perspectives because one framework alone can overlook important effects (Freeman).

Stakeholders in Mining

Stakeholders include shareholders, employees, contractors, suppliers, customers, governments, local communities, Indigenous peoples, lenders, future generations, and ecosystems represented through law or advocacy. Their interests differ. Investors may seek returns, workers need safety and livelihood, governments expect taxes and development, and communities may prioritize water, land, heritage, and long-term health. Stakeholder theory does not mean that every preference must be satisfied equally or that management can avoid difficult trade-offs. It means that people who bear significant risk deserve consideration, information, and participation. In extractive industries, those living near operations may experience irreversible effects while receiving only temporary benefits. Ethical governance must therefore give severity and vulnerability greater weight than bargaining power alone.

Anglo American’s Current Ethical Framework

Anglo American’s current materials describe values of safety, care and respect, integrity, accountability, collaboration, and innovation. Its Code of Conduct, updated in June 2026, applies expectations to directors, employees, contractors, suppliers, agents, and other business partners. The company also reports policies on business integrity, whistleblowing, human rights, responsible sourcing, and community engagement. These documents provide a framework for conduct and training, but Anglo American itself states that the existence of the code should not be taken as assurance that every standard is achieved. That qualification is important. Ethical evaluation must compare policy with incidents, corrective action, independent assurance, stakeholder experience, and whether grievances produce remedy (Anglo American plc, “Code Conduct”; Anglo American plc, “Ethical Business”).

Ethical Practice One: Protecting Worker Safety

Stakeholders would regard serious investment in worker safety as ethical because mining exposes employees and contractors to heavy equipment, ground instability, dust, explosions, fatigue, and hazardous processes. Ethical safety management goes beyond telling workers to follow rules. It designs hazards out of operations, maintains equipment, provides training, protects stop-work authority, and investigates incidents without retaliation. Contractors should receive equivalent protection rather than being treated as a buffer for risk. Anglo American presents “zero harm” and safety as core values, but the ethical test is whether production pressure ever overrides those commitments. Transparent reporting of fatalities, high-potential incidents, occupational disease, and corrective actions allows stakeholders to assess whether lessons are implemented rather than merely announced.

Ethical Practice Two: Meaningful Community Engagement

Mining companies often seek formal permits from government, but legal authorization is not the same as social legitimacy. The OECD describes meaningful stakeholder engagement as ongoing, two-way, timely, accessible, safe, and responsive to affected people’s views. Ethical engagement begins before final decisions and continues through operation, closure, and remediation. Communities need understandable information about water, land, blasting, traffic, employment, resettlement, and tailings risk. Participation should include women, Indigenous groups, informal land users, and others who may be excluded from official leadership. Anglo American’s Social Way framework presents a system for managing community relationships. Stakeholders would judge it ethically effective only when local concerns can change decisions and grievances receive remedy (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, “Due Diligence Guidance Meaningful Stakeholder”).

Ethical Practice Three: Transparency and Anti-Corruption

Accurate reporting of taxes, royalties, ownership, political engagement, and payments reduces opportunities for corruption and allows citizens to evaluate whether natural-resource wealth creates public benefit. Anglo American identifies integrity and transparency as values and publishes information about industry-association contributions and economic impact. An effective anti-corruption program includes risk assessment, controls over gifts and agents, due diligence on partners, training, protected reporting, investigation, and consistent discipline. Ethical conduct also requires resisting facilitation payments or political pressure even when corruption is normalized locally. A company cannot justify bribery by claiming that competitors behave similarly. Transparency is strongest when reports are independently assured and specific enough to reveal material problems rather than present only favorable totals.

Unethical Practice One: Concealing Environmental Harm

Stakeholders would regard concealing pollution, understating tailings risk, or manipulating environmental data as unethical. Mining can affect water quality, biodiversity, air, soil, and climate, and some consequences continue after closure. A company that knows of contamination but delays disclosure transfers health and financial risk to communities. Even legal emissions may be ethically questionable when regulation is weak or cumulative impacts are severe. Responsible practice requires environmental due diligence, monitoring, emergency planning, public disclosure, and remediation where the company caused or contributed to harm. Green claims should be supported by measurable performance. Promising a smaller footprint while externalizing waste or water loss would be a form of greenwashing rather than responsible mining.

Unethical Practice Two: Violating Labor and Human Rights

Stakeholders would also condemn forced labor, discrimination, unsafe contractor conditions, retaliation against whistleblowers, or security practices that harm communities. Human-rights responsibility extends beyond direct employees to supply chains and contractors when the company's purchasing or operational decisions contribute to abuse. Anglo American states alignment with the UN Guiding Principles and requires suppliers to respect labor and human rights. Ethical performance requires identifying salient risks, preventing impact, tracking results, communicating openly, and providing or cooperating in remedy. Audits alone may miss intimidation or coached responses. Worker voice, freedom of association, confidential grievance channels, and protection of human-rights defenders are essential because vulnerable people may know about harm before management systems record it (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, "Handbook Environmental Due Diligence Mineral"; United Nations).

Taxes, Royalties, and Economic Contribution

The original essay notes that mining companies must pay taxes and royalties. Ethical tax behavior means more than meeting the narrowest legal obligation. Aggressive arrangements can shift profit away from countries where extraction and impact occur, reducing funds for public services. At the same time, governments are responsible for negotiating and using revenue effectively, and companies should not replace public institutions through discretionary philanthropy. Anglo American publishes tax and economic-contribution reporting, which can support accountability when methods and country information are clear. Stakeholders will examine whether payments reflect real value creation, whether local procurement and employment are fairly distributed, and whether economic benefits continue after closure. A large total contribution does not cancel specific environmental or human-rights harm.

Free, Prior, and Informed Consent

The phrase "social consent" in the original essay needs greater precision. International standards recognize free, prior, and informed consent in particular circumstances involving Indigenous peoples, while broader stakeholder engagement applies to all affected communities. Consent must be free from coercion, sought before relevant decisions, based on adequate information, and understood as an ongoing process rather than one signature. Legal requirements vary by jurisdiction, but ethical practice should not exploit that variation. Companies need culturally appropriate communication, independent advice, and grievance processes. Where consent is disputed, management should not treat local opposition merely as a public-relations obstacle. The conflict may reveal unequal distribution of risk, unresolved land rights, or lack of trust created by earlier operations.

Environmental Responsibility and Mine Closure

Ethical mining requires planning for closure before extraction begins. Communities should know how land, water, jobs, infrastructure, and waste facilities will be managed when production stops. Financial assurance should prevent cleanup costs from passing to taxpayers if a company fails or leaves. Progressive rehabilitation can reduce disturbance during operation, but restoration claims must recognize that some ecosystems cannot be recreated fully. Climate change also alters water availability and extreme-weather risk, affecting the design of tailings and closure plans. Anglo American's strategy emphasizes reducing physical footprint and increasing responsible mine certification. Stakeholders will assess whether capital allocation and timelines match those commitments, especially when short-term financial pressure encourages delayed environmental spending.

Whistleblowing and Ethical Culture

A code of conduct becomes credible when employees can report concerns safely. Anglo American provides the YourVoice confidential reporting channel to employees and suppliers. Such systems should allow anonymity where legal, protect against retaliation, and provide independent oversight of serious allegations. Reporting numbers must be interpreted carefully. A high number may indicate misconduct, but it may also show that employees trust the process; an unrealistically low number can signal fear. Ethical culture is revealed by how managers respond to bad news. Investigations should be prompt and fair, and discipline should apply to senior personnel as well as contractors. Lessons should improve controls without exposing reporters or treating every allegation as proven before investigation.

Ethics during Corporate Transformation

Anglo American described 2025 as a transformational year involving portfolio simplification and an agreed merger intended to create Anglo Teck. Transactions and divestments create ethical risks because employees, communities, suppliers, and closure obligations may face uncertainty. A seller should conduct due diligence on buyers, preserve environmental and social commitments, communicate honestly, and avoid transferring assets to entities unable to manage them responsibly. Workforce restructuring should include fair process, consultation, and support. Shareholder value is legitimate, but a transaction is not ethically successful if financial benefit depends on abandoning liabilities or weakening stakeholder protections. Corporate transformation tests whether stated values survive pressure and whether responsibilities remain attached to operations when ownership changes (Anglo American plc, "Integrated Annual 2025").

Evaluating Claims and Evidence

Stakeholders should use multiple sources when assessing Anglo American or any mining company. Corporate reports provide detailed data and policies but are selected and framed by management.

Independent assurance adds credibility but has defined scope. Government inspections, court records, community reports, academic studies, union information, and investigative journalism may reveal different aspects. Allegations should not be repeated as fact without evidence, and corporate denial should not end inquiry automatically. Ethical analysis asks whether reporting includes material failures, whether targets are measurable, and whether affected people recognize improvement. The purpose is not to declare the company entirely ethical or unethical. Large organizations contain conflicting practices, and accountability requires issue-specific judgment.

Conclusion

Business ethics provides standards for evaluating how Anglo American's mining decisions affect workers, communities, governments, investors, and the environment. Ethical practices include protecting workers, engaging communities meaningfully, reporting payments transparently, preventing corruption, and conducting human-rights and environmental due diligence. Unethical practices include concealing harm, violating labor rights, retaliating against reporters, shifting cleanup costs, or using weak regulation to justify avoidable damage. Anglo American has extensive current policies, a 2026 Code of Conduct, human-rights commitments, supplier standards, and reporting systems. Those commitments are relevant but not self-proving. Stakeholder judgment should compare stated values with measurable outcomes, independent evidence, remedy, and conduct during difficult decisions such as closure, divestment, or corporate restructuring.

References

1. Anglo American plc. *Integrated Annual Report 2025*. 2026.
2. Anglo American plc. *Code of Conduct*. Updated June 2026.
3. Anglo American plc. "Ethical Business," "Human Rights," and "Responsible Sourcing." Corporate policy resources.
4. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. *Due Diligence Guidance for Meaningful Stakeholder Engagement in the Extractive Sector*. 2017.
5. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. *Handbook on Environmental Due Diligence in Mineral Supply Chains*. 2023.
6. United Nations. *Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights*. 2011.
7. Freeman, R. Edward. *Strategic Management: A Stakeholder Approach*. Cambridge University Press, 2010.