Amazon Organizational Structure Mission Vision and Ethical Principles

Posted on September 30, 2025

Introduction

Amazon.com, Inc. has developed from an online bookseller into a diversified multinational organization operating across electronic commerce, cloud computing, digital advertising, entertainment, artificial intelligence, healthcare, logistics, and consumer technology. Its scale and range of activities make it a useful organization for examining how mission, vision, organizational structure, culture, and ethical principles influence strategic performance.

Organizational mission and vision statements are more than promotional slogans. A mission explains an organization's fundamental purpose and present scope, whereas a vision describes the future position that the organization seeks to achieve. George et al. (2023) explain that a mission identifies the reason an organization exists, while a vision presents an aspirational picture of its desired future. These statements can guide decisions, coordinate employees, communicate priorities to stakeholders, and distinguish an organization from its competitors.

Organizational structure is equally important because it determines how authority, responsibilities, information, and resources are distributed. Amazon must coordinate more than one million employees, numerous business units, international markets, large fulfilment networks, and highly technical services. As a result, a simple functional or divisional structure would be insufficient. The available evidence suggests that Amazon uses a hybrid organizational design that combines hierarchy, business divisions, geographic operations, centralized leadership, shared functions, and relatively autonomous teams.

Ethical principles provide another essential part of the company's organizational framework. Amazon's Code of Business Conduct and Ethics establishes formal expectations relating to legal compliance, conflicts of interest, discrimination, workplace safety, insider trading, bribery, financial integrity, and the reporting of misconduct. However, a written code can only influence behaviour when leaders consistently apply it, employees understand it, and the organization provides effective mechanisms for accountability.

This assessment examines Amazon's current mission and vision, evaluates its organizational structure, explains the role of its Leadership Principles, and considers whether its ethical framework supports responsible and sustainable management.

Overview of Amazon

Amazon was founded in 1994 and initially became known as an online retailer. Its business model has since expanded far beyond e-commerce. The company now provides online and physical retail services, third-party marketplace services, cloud infrastructure through Amazon Web Services, subscription services, digital advertising, streaming entertainment, consumer devices, healthcare-related services, and artificial intelligence products.

Amazon's 2025 annual report identifies three reportable business segments known as North America, International, and Amazon Web Services. These segments reflect the way senior management evaluates performance and manages operations. The North America and International segments primarily include retail, advertising, subscription, and marketplace activities, while AWS provides computing, storage, databases, analytics, artificial intelligence, machine learning, and other technology services to organizations and developers (Amazon.com, Inc., 2026a).

As of December 31, 2025, Amazon reported approximately 1,576,000 full-time and part-time employees. The organization also uses contractors and temporary workers to support its operations. Managing a workforce of this size requires formal lines of authority, standardized policies, measurable performance expectations, and systems that enable coordination across countries and business units (Amazon.com, Inc., 2026a).

Amazon's activities serve several stakeholder groups. These include consumers, employees, sellers, advertisers, content creators, developers, enterprises, public institutions, shareholders, suppliers, and local communities. The diversity of these groups means that Amazon must balance customer convenience with employee welfare, regulatory compliance, technological security, environmental responsibility, supplier standards, and shareholder expectations.

Amazon Mission Statement

Amazon's current official mission is "to make customers' lives better and easier every day" by continually inventing on their behalf (Amazon, 2026a, para. 1). The statement emphasizes two central ideas. The first is the improvement of customers' lives, and the second is continuous invention. Amazon therefore defines itself not only as a seller of products but also as an organization that develops services, technologies, and processes intended to reduce inconvenience for customers.

This mission is broader than Amazon's earlier identity as an online retailer. It applies to several areas of the company's operations. In retail, it may involve wider product selection, competitive pricing, simplified purchasing, and faster delivery. Within AWS, it means helping customers obtain computing resources without building their own physical infrastructure. In entertainment, it includes access to digital video, music, books, and live programming. In consumer technology, the mission supports the development of devices and services intended to simplify communication, home security, entertainment, and information access.

The wording also provides Amazon with strategic flexibility. The mission does not restrict the company to a single product category, technology, or industry. Instead, any activity that can reasonably improve customer convenience may fit within the mission. This flexibility has supported Amazon's expansion into cloud computing, advertising, digital media, healthcare, artificial intelligence, and logistics.

Nevertheless, a broad mission may also create strategic risks. When an organization enters many industries, it can face growing complexity, regulatory scrutiny, coordination difficulties, and conflicts among stakeholder interests. A decision that benefits customers through lower prices or faster delivery may create pressure on workers, suppliers, delivery partners, or the environment. Consequently, Amazon's mission should not be interpreted as a justification for prioritizing customers without considering the effects of its decisions on other stakeholders.

Amazon Vision Statement

Amazon does not always present a separate statement explicitly labelled as its vision. However, its official public communications repeatedly describe an aspiration to become Earth's most customer-centric company, Earth's best employer, and Earth's safest place to work. This language can be interpreted as Amazon's contemporary vision because it describes the organizational position the company seeks to achieve rather than merely explaining its current activities.

Amazon's 2025 annual report states that the company seeks to be "Earth's most customer-centric company" and is guided by customer obsession, invention, operational excellence, and long-term thinking (Amazon.com, Inc., 2026a, p. 4).

The vision has three significant dimensions.

The first dimension is customer centricity. Amazon aims to understand customer needs and design products and processes by working backwards from those needs. This objective is visible in its emphasis on selection, price, convenience, delivery speed, service availability, and ease of use.

The second dimension is employment quality. Amazon states that it strives to become Earth's best employer. This aspiration expands the company's vision beyond customer satisfaction and recognizes that long-term organizational performance also depends on employee development, safety, opportunity, and engagement.

The third dimension is workplace safety. The ambition to become Earth's safest place to work is particularly important for an organization with fulfilment centres, warehouses, transportation networks, data centres, offices, and delivery operations. These environments involve different physical and psychological risks, which means that safety must be integrated into operational planning rather than treated as a separate compliance matter.

The vision is strategically valuable because it establishes demanding long-term goals. It also exposes the company to evaluation. Customers, employees, regulators, researchers, and investors can compare Amazon's actual practices with its stated ambitions. A vision has limited credibility when stakeholders observe a persistent gap between organizational language and organizational behaviour.

Relationship Between Amazon Mission and Vision

Amazon's mission and vision are closely connected but perform different functions. The mission explains what the organization seeks to do every day, while the vision describes what Amazon intends to become.

The mission focuses on improving customers' lives through invention. The vision extends that customer-focused purpose by establishing broader aspirations relating to employment and workplace safety. Together, these statements communicate that Amazon seeks to create value through convenience, innovation, responsible employment, and reliable operations.

Strategic element	Main emphasis	Organizational implication
Mission	Improving customers' lives through continuous invention	Encourages new products, services, technologies, and operational improvements
Customer vision	Becoming the most customer-centric company	Places customer needs at the centre of decision-making
Employer vision	Becoming the best employer	Requires investment in employee development, compensation, inclusion, and opportunity
Safety vision	Becoming the safest workplace	Requires prevention, training, reporting, measurement, and operational accountability

Strategic element	Main emphasis	Organizational implication
Guiding principles	Invention, operational excellence, customer obsession, and long-term thinking	Connects daily behaviour with long-term strategy

The relationship between the mission and vision also creates potential tensions. Customer obsession may encourage faster services and lower costs, while the best-employer and safest-workplace ambitions may require additional time, staffing, training, and expenditure. Effective leadership must balance these objectives instead of allowing one to dominate the others.

Amazon Organizational Structure

Amazon's organizational structure is best described as a hybrid hierarchical and divisional structure supported by functional coordination and autonomous teams. Describing it simply as a matrix would be misleading because publicly available information does not show that all Amazon employees formally report to two separate managers, which is a defining feature of a traditional matrix structure.

Organization design involves more than an organizational chart. It includes the structures, processes, incentives, control mechanisms, communication systems, and coordination arrangements through which work is divided and integrated. Joseph and Sengul (2025) identify configuration, control, coordination, and the direction of organizational attention as major concerns within contemporary organization design.

Amazon's structure reflects each of these concerns.

Centralized Hierarchical Leadership

At the highest level, Amazon has a conventional corporate hierarchy. The chief executive officer holds authority over the company's overall strategic direction, supported by senior executives responsible for major business areas and corporate functions. The board of directors provides governance and oversight on behalf of shareholders.

Amazon's annual report identifies the president and chief executive officer as the company's chief operating decision-maker. The CEO reviews consolidated performance and business results by segment, demonstrating that strategic and financial control remains centralized at the highest level (Amazon.com, Inc., 2026a).

Hierarchy offers several advantages. It creates clear accountability, supports consistent standards, and enables senior leaders to allocate resources across the organization. It is especially important for matters involving financial reporting, regulatory compliance, cybersecurity, major investments, corporate reputation, and long-term strategy.

However, a large hierarchy may create bureaucracy. Information can move slowly through several managerial levels, employees may become reluctant to challenge senior decisions, and approval procedures may delay innovation. Amazon therefore combines hierarchical control with mechanisms intended to preserve speed and local ownership.

Divisional Business Segments

Amazon’s three reportable segments provide a divisional element within its structure.

The North America segment covers business conducted through North America-focused online and physical stores. The International segment includes retail and related services through internationally focused stores. AWS operates as a distinct global technology business serving companies, government agencies, start-ups, developers, and academic institutions (Amazon.com, Inc., 2026a).

This segmentation allows Amazon to evaluate the performance of substantially different operations. Retail and cloud computing have different customers, technologies, competitors, cost structures, regulatory issues, and sources of revenue. Managing AWS separately therefore allows specialized expertise and clearer accountability.

Divisional structures also enable managers to focus on particular markets. International business units can respond to local languages, consumer habits, regulations, payment systems, infrastructure, and competitive conditions. However, excessive divisional independence can produce duplication and organizational silos. Amazon must therefore maintain coordination between business segments and shared corporate functions.

Functional Coordination

Amazon also organizes work through specialized functions such as finance, operations, technology, human resources, legal affairs, marketing, public policy, security, and corporate communications. These functions provide expertise that can be shared across business segments.

For example, the annual report explains that expenses associated with fulfilment, technology and infrastructure, sales and marketing, and general administration are allocated across the company’s segments according to their use. This indicates that Amazon’s divisions operate with support from resources and capabilities that cross segment boundaries (Amazon.com, Inc., 2026a).

Functional specialization improves efficiency because experts can develop common systems and standards. However, it may also create conflict when functional priorities differ from divisional goals.

A product team may want rapid experimentation, while legal or security professionals may require additional review. Effective coordination depends on clear decision rights and communication.

Autonomous Teams

Amazon is widely associated with small teams that have ownership of defined products, services, or customer problems. The purpose of small-team design is to reduce communication burdens, accelerate decisions, and create accountability for results.

These teams operate within the larger hierarchy rather than replacing it. They may have freedom over technical or operational decisions while remaining accountable to senior leaders, financial targets, security requirements, and company-wide policies.

This balance between decentralization and control is appropriate for Amazon. A fully centralized structure would struggle to process the volume and variety of decisions made across its businesses. A completely decentralized structure could weaken consistency, increase duplication, and expose the company to uncontrolled risk.

Recommended Structure for Amazon

The most appropriate design for Amazon is not a completely new structure but an improved version of its existing hybrid model. The company should maintain centralized governance for high-risk areas while allowing business units and teams to retain authority over customer-focused innovation.

This structure supports both control and flexibility. Strategic priorities, ethical standards, financial reporting, safety requirements, and major investments remain centrally governed. Product development, experimentation, customer service, and market adaptation can be managed closer to the relevant customers and employees.

Amazon should nevertheless strengthen cross-functional coordination. Teams responsible for customer experience should work closely with employee safety, ethics, privacy, and environmental specialists from the beginning of a project. Ethical and social concerns should not be addressed only after a product or process has already been designed.

Amazon Leadership Principles

Amazon’s Leadership Principles form a central part of its culture and management system. Amazon states that the principles describe how the company conducts business, how leaders lead, and how customers remain central to decision-making. There are currently 16 principles, including Customer Obsession, Ownership, Invent and Simplify, Learn and Be Curious, Insist on the Highest Standards, Think Big, Bias for Action, Earn Trust, Dive Deep, Deliver Results, Strive to Be Earth’s Best Employer, and Success and Scale Bring Broad Responsibility (Amazon, 2026b).

These principles serve several organizational purposes. They provide a shared vocabulary for evaluating decisions, selecting employees, assessing performance, and discussing leadership behaviour. In a geographically dispersed organization, common principles can help maintain cultural consistency.

Customer Obsession reinforces the company's mission. Ownership encourages employees to consider long-term organizational interests rather than limiting themselves to narrow job descriptions. Invent and Simplify supports experimentation and process improvement. Dive Deep encourages managers to examine data and operational details. Earn Trust highlights respectful communication and credibility.

The final two principles are particularly relevant to ethical management. Strive to Be Earth's Best Employer recognizes that leaders must support employee development, safety, inclusion, and success. Success and Scale Bring Broad Responsibility acknowledges that the effects of Amazon's actions become more significant as the organization grows.

Leadership principles can nevertheless create problems when they are interpreted selectively. Bias for Action should not be used to avoid safety or ethical reviews. Frugality should not justify inadequate staffing or unsafe working conditions. Deliver Results should not reward performance achieved through misconduct. The principles must therefore be understood as an integrated system rather than as isolated instructions.

Amazon Code of Business Conduct and Ethics

Amazon's Code of Business Conduct and Ethics establishes formal standards for employee behaviour. Its central expectation is that employees act "lawfully, ethically, and in the best interests of Amazon.com" (Amazon.com, Inc., n.d., para. 1).

The code covers several major areas.

Compliance With Laws

Employees are required to follow applicable laws, rules, and regulations. This principle is especially important because Amazon operates in many jurisdictions and participates in highly regulated areas such as employment, taxation, competition, healthcare, financial transactions, data protection, and cloud computing.

Conflicts of Interest

Employees must avoid situations in which personal interests interfere with objective business judgment. Conflicts may arise through relationships with suppliers, customers, competitors, business partners, family members, or other employees. Amazon requires employees to disclose possible conflicts so that corrective or mitigating action can be considered.

Insider Trading

Employees who possess material information that is not publicly available may not trade Amazon securities or improperly share that information with others. This protects investors and supports confidence in financial markets.

Discrimination and Harassment

The code states that Amazon provides equal employment opportunity and does not tolerate illegal discrimination or harassment. A global employer must ensure that these principles are applied consistently across recruitment, promotion, compensation, discipline, and termination.

Health and Safety

Employees share responsibility for maintaining a safe and healthy workplace. They are expected to follow safety rules and report accidents, injuries, unsafe conditions, and threatening behaviour. This requirement directly supports Amazon’s vision of becoming Earth’s safest place to work.

Bribery and Financial Integrity

The code prohibits improper payments and requires accurate recordkeeping and financial reporting. These rules are essential for protecting shareholders, customers, governments, and business partners.

Reporting Misconduct

Employees may raise concerns with managers, the Legal Department, or designated reporting channels. Reporting mechanisms are important because employees may observe misconduct before senior management becomes aware of it.

Evaluating Amazon Ethical Principles

Amazon’s ethical framework contains the essential components expected within a large multinational organization. It provides written standards, identifies prohibited conduct, assigns reporting responsibilities, and connects ethical expectations with leadership behaviour.

Research indicates, however, that a code alone cannot create an ethical organization. Stevens (2008) found that ethical codes are more influential when leaders embrace them, employees discuss them, and their expectations are embedded within organizational culture.

More recent research similarly suggests that effective ethics programmes require several connected elements. These include a code, ethics or compliance professionals, employee training, reporting channels, investigation procedures, and visible managerial commitment (Cabana et al., 2025).

For Amazon, the principal ethical challenge is therefore implementation. Its size creates the possibility that ethical culture may vary among warehouses, offices, technology teams, delivery operations, and national subsidiaries. Resende et al. (2024) found that stronger shared ethical cultures were associated with lower perceptions of unethical workplace behaviour. This finding suggests that ethical expectations must be reinforced at team level rather than communicated only through corporate documents.

Amazon can strengthen its ethical framework by ensuring that managers are evaluated not only on financial and operational results but also on how those results are achieved. Safety, employee welfare, environmental impact, privacy, fairness, and responsible use of technology should be included in leadership evaluation and promotion decisions.

The company should also provide accessible reporting channels, protect employees from retaliation, investigate complaints consistently, and communicate corrective actions where legally appropriate. Ethical training should use realistic scenarios from retail, AWS, advertising, artificial intelligence, data management, and fulfilment operations.

Strategic Strengths and Challenges

Amazon’s mission, vision, structure, and principles provide several strategic strengths. Its customer focus creates a consistent basis for innovation. The broad mission permits entry into new industries. Divisional segments allow specialized management, while centralized leadership supports accountability. Shared Leadership Principles help employees communicate across functions and locations.

The model also creates significant challenges. Customer obsession can conflict with employee interests or supplier pressures. Rapid growth can produce bureaucracy despite Amazon’s emphasis on speed. Small autonomous teams may duplicate work or apply policies inconsistently. A results-oriented culture may encourage employees to prioritize measurable outcomes over less visible ethical concerns.

Amazon’s long-term success will therefore depend on integration. The mission, vision, structure, and code of ethics should reinforce one another. Customer convenience should not be separated from employee safety. Innovation should not be separated from privacy and accountability. Operational excellence should include social and environmental performance, not only speed and cost.

Conclusion

Amazon is a complex multinational organization whose strategic direction is shaped by customer centricity, invention, operational excellence, and long-term thinking. Its current mission focuses on making customers’ lives easier and better through continuous invention. Its broader vision is to become Earth’s most customer-centric company, best employer, and safest workplace.

The company’s organizational design is best understood as a hybrid structure. It combines centralized executive control, a formal hierarchy, three major business segments, geographic divisions, specialized functions, and small teams with operational autonomy. This arrangement enables Amazon to coordinate a vast global organization while preserving some flexibility for innovation.

Amazon’s Leadership Principles translate its strategic ideas into expected workplace behaviours. Its Code of Business Conduct and Ethics addresses legal compliance, conflicts of interest, discrimination, safety, insider trading, bribery, financial integrity, and reporting responsibilities. These policies provide a necessary ethical foundation, but their value depends on implementation.

A strong ethical organization requires more than a published code. It requires consistent leadership, employee training, safe reporting channels, fair investigations, transparent accountability, and performance systems that reward responsible conduct. Amazon’s mission and scale give it the capacity to influence customers, workers, industries, and communities around the world. That scale also creates a responsibility to ensure that innovation and customer convenience are achieved through fair, safe, lawful, and sustainable practices.

References

Amazon. (2026a). *About us*.

Amazon. (2026b). *Amazon Leadership Principles*.

Amazon.com, Inc. (n.d.). *Code of business conduct and ethics*.

Amazon.com, Inc. (2026a). *Annual report for the year ended December 31, 2025*.

Cabana, G. C., Kaptein, M., Fowler, S. J., & de Groot, T. (2025). Understanding the effectiveness of ethics programs in preventing unethical behavior by unravelling the dimensions of team ethical culture. *Journal of Business Ethics*. doi:10.1007/s10551-025-06115-5

George, G., Haas, M. R., McGahan, A. M., Schillebeeckx, S. J. D., & Tracey, P. (2023). Purpose in the for-profit firm. A review and framework for management research. *Journal of Management, 49*(6), 1841–1869. doi:10.1177/01492063211006450

Joseph, J., & Sengul, M. (2025). Organization design. Current insights and future research directions. *Journal of Management, 51*(1), 140–172. doi:10.1177/01492063241271242

Resende, M. M., Porto, J. B., & Gracia, F. J. (2024). Can we decrease unethical behavior at work. The role of ethical culture, ethical culture strength and collective moral identity. *Current Psychology, 43*, 7153–7166. doi:10.1007/s12144-023-04615-z

Stevens, B. (2008). Corporate ethical codes. Effective instruments for influencing behavior. *Journal of Business Ethics, 78*, 601–609. doi:10.1007/s10551-007-9370-z