African American Women's Views On Stress, Strength, And Health

Posted on October 23, 2018

View this essay online:
https://academic-master.com/african-american-womens-views-on-stress-strength-and-health/

Cheryl L. Woods-Giscombé's study "Superwoman Schema: African American Women's Views on Stress, Strength, and Health" examines how a culturally valued image of strength can function as both a source of resilience and a source of strain. The study used focus groups with African American women to explore expectations surrounding emotional control, self-reliance, achievement, caregiving, and vulnerability. Participants described strength as useful for surviving racism, sexism, economic pressure, family responsibility, and other forms of adversity, but they also described costs when strength became compulsory. The Superwoman Schema should therefore not be treated as an inherent personality trait of African American women. It is better understood as a coping pattern shaped by history, social expectations, discrimination, relationships, and access to support. This perspective allows health research to examine strength without stereotyping the very population it is trying to understand.

The Core Features of the Superwoman Schema

Woods-Giscombé identified several recurring elements in participants' descriptions of the Superwoman role. These included an obligation to appear strong, pressure to suppress emotion, resistance to asking for help or showing vulnerability, determination to succeed despite limited resources, and responsibility for caring for others. These behaviors can be adaptive in environments where women are expected to manage family, work, discrimination, and community responsibilities without reliable institutional support.

The important distinction is between resilience chosen freely and invulnerability demanded by others. A woman may take pride in perseverance, independence, and commitment to family while also feeling unable to admit exhaustion or ask for assistance. When strength becomes the only socially acceptable response, it can restrict the range of coping strategies available. Rest, boundaries, grief, dependence, and emotional honesty may begin to feel like failure rather than ordinary human needs.

Historical and Social Context

The Strong Black Woman image developed within a long history in which African American women were expected to work, care for families, survive racial violence, and remain emotionally composed

under conditions that offered them limited protection. Slavery, segregation, labor exploitation, discrimination, and racialized gender stereotypes all contributed to expectations of extraordinary endurance. These histories do not mean every African American woman experiences strength in the same way, but they help explain why the image can carry cultural meaning.

Contemporary workplaces and healthcare systems may reinforce similar expectations. An African American woman who expresses frustration may be stereotyped as angry, while one who remains composed may be assumed to need less support. Pain may be underestimated, emotional labor may be taken for granted, and extra mentoring or family responsibility may be assigned because she is perceived as capable. The Superwoman Schema is therefore relevant not only to individual coping but also to the institutions that make constant self-control seem necessary.

Health Consequences and Stress Pathways

The schema may affect health through several pathways. Emotional suppression can make it harder to disclose distress, while reluctance to depend on others may delay help-seeking. Heavy caregiving responsibility can reduce time for sleep, exercise, preventive care, or recovery from illness. Chronic exposure to discrimination, financial strain, and role overload can also activate physiological stress systems over long periods. Research on “weathering” has shown how cumulative social disadvantage may contribute to higher allostatic load among Black women, making chronic stress an important health context rather than merely a matter of personal attitude (Geronimus et al., 2006).

This does not mean the Superwoman Schema directly causes a specific disease. Health outcomes are influenced by genetics, environment, medical care, income, neighborhood conditions, discrimination, and many other factors. The value of the framework is that it identifies one possible behavioral and psychological pathway through which social pressure can influence stress, sleep, mood, healthcare use, and physical wellbeing. It should complement, not replace, structural explanations of health inequality.

Strengths and Limits of the Research

The qualitative design is a major strength because the framework emerged from women’s own descriptions rather than being imposed entirely from outside. Focus groups allowed participants to discuss both benefits and burdens, showing that strength is not simply harmful. Participants described pride, achievement, family protection, community care, and persistence alongside emotional suppression, relationship strain, and delayed self-care. This balance makes the framework more useful than a deficit model that interprets culturally valued coping only as pathology.

The study also has important limitations. A qualitative sample cannot establish how common the schema is across all African American women or prove causal relationships with health outcomes. Participants who volunteer for focus groups may differ from those who do not, and group discussion

can influence what people feel comfortable saying. African American women also differ by age, class, region, disability, religion, sexuality, immigration background, skin tone, and family structure. The framework becomes harmful if it turns into another stereotype claiming that every Black woman is emotionally guarded, self-sacrificing, or endlessly resilient.

Another limitation is that culturally meaningful language can change over time and across communities. The phrase “Strong Black Woman” may feel empowering to one participant and burdensome to another. Researchers should therefore avoid assuming that agreement with one label automatically means agreement with every dimension of the schema. Qualitative follow-up remains important even when standardized measures are used.

Intersectionality and Variation Within the Population

An intersectional approach is necessary because racism and sexism do not operate as independent burdens that can simply be added together. They interact to produce distinctive expectations of Black women, including stereotypes of anger, hypersexuality, selflessness, toughness, or reduced vulnerability. Class, disability, age, sexuality, and immigration status further shape the type of stress a woman experiences and the resources available to manage it (Crenshaw, 1989).

For example, a professional woman may feel pressure to remain calm and exceptionally competent in a workplace where mistakes are judged more harshly, while a low-wage caregiver may experience unstable scheduling, inadequate childcare, and limited health access. Both may identify with being “strong,” but the social pressures and practical alternatives differ. Research should therefore examine patterns without assuming uniformity.

Clinical, Workplace, and Community Implications

Healthcare professionals can use the framework as a prompt for conversation rather than as a diagnosis. A clinician might ask whether the patient feels pressure to handle everything alone, whether asking for help feels uncomfortable, how caregiving responsibilities affect sleep or appointments, and what support is realistically available. A composed appearance should not be interpreted automatically as low distress. The same principle applies in maternal health, mental-health care, chronic-disease management, and primary care.

Employers can also examine whether African American women are being assigned disproportionate emotional labor, mentoring, crisis management, or diversity work without recognition or compensation. Flexible work, paid leave, fair promotion systems, affordable childcare, and protection from discrimination can reduce the structural pressures that make compulsory strength adaptive.

Community organizations and families can broaden the meaning of strength by treating help-seeking, rest, therapy, boundaries, and reciprocal care as compatible with resilience. Praising someone for being “the strong one” can be supportive, but it can also become permission to keep adding responsibilities. A healthier form of recognition asks what support the person wants rather than assuming she can continue carrying everything.

Future Research and Intervention

Later work has developed measures of the Superwoman Schema, but continued research is needed to distinguish it from resilience, perfectionism, emotional inhibition, caregiving burden, and related constructs. Longitudinal studies can examine whether particular dimensions predict changes in stress, sleep, depression, blood pressure, inflammation, or healthcare use over time. Strong studies should combine interviews, self-report, physiological measures, and information about actual social conditions rather than treating biomarkers as more truthful than lived experience.

Intervention research should be developed with African American women rather than simply adapted from generic stress programs. Useful components may include peer support, emotional expression, boundary setting, sleep support, culturally responsive counseling, healthcare navigation, and strategies for responding to discrimination. Interventions should also address workplace, family, and policy conditions. It would be contradictory to teach women to “reduce stress” while leaving the unequal demands producing that stress unchanged.

Conclusion

The Superwoman Schema provides a useful way to understand how culturally valued strength can protect African American women while also creating health and emotional costs when it becomes compulsory. Woods-Giscombé’s research shows that strength can include perseverance, achievement, caregiving, and resistance to adversity, yet can also involve emotional suppression, reluctance to depend on others, and neglect of personal needs. The framework is most useful when it remains connected to racism, sexism, economic pressure, and unequal institutional support rather than becoming another stereotype about Black women. The practical goal is not to weaken resilience. It is to create families, workplaces, communities, and healthcare systems in which strength can also include receiving care, expressing vulnerability, setting limits, and sharing responsibility.

References

Woods-Giscombé, C. L. (2010). Superwoman schema: African American women’s views on stress, strength, and health. *Qualitative Health Research, 20*(5), 668–683.

Woods-Giscombé, C. L., et al. (2019). Development and psychometric testing of the Superwoman

Schema Questionnaire. *Journal of Behavioral Medicine, 42*, 253–269.

Lewis, J. A., Mendenhall, R., Harwood, S. A., & Huntt, M. B. (2016). “Ain’t I a woman?” Perceived gendered racial microaggressions experienced by Black women. *The Counseling Psychologist, 44*(5), 758–780.

Geronimus, A. T., Hicken, M., Keene, D., & Bound, J. (2006). “Weathering” and age patterns of allostatic load scores among Black and White women. *American Journal of Public Health, 96*(5), 826–833.

Crenshaw, K. (1989). Demarginalizing the intersection of race and sex. *University of Chicago Legal Forum*, 1989(1), 139–167.