Advantages And Disadvantages Of E-Recruitment

Posted on October 24, 2018

View this essay online:
https://academic-master.com/advantages-and-disadvantages-of-e-recruitment/

E-recruitment is the use of digital systems to attract, receive, screen, communicate with, and evaluate job applicants. It includes corporate career pages, job boards, professional networks, applicant-tracking systems, online assessments, video interviewing, and increasingly automated decision-support tools. Two studies provide a useful foundation for understanding its benefits and limitations: one examines multinational information-technology companies in India, while another considers adoption in Ethiopia's banking industry. Both show that online recruitment can reduce time and administrative cost, extend geographic reach, and improve recordkeeping. They also reveal important limits involving internet access, market segmentation, transparency, and reliability. As recruitment technologies have become more sophisticated, these concerns have expanded to include algorithmic bias, privacy, accessibility, data governance, and the quality of human oversight.

Efficiency, Reach, and Candidate Access

The strongest advantage of e-recruitment is its ability to connect employers and candidates quickly across geographic boundaries. A vacancy can be published simultaneously through a company website, job board, university network, or professional platform, while applicants can submit materials without traveling or mailing documents. For industries such as information technology, where employers may compete for specialized skills across cities and countries, this broader reach can be especially valuable.

Digital systems also reduce many routine administrative tasks. Applicant-tracking software can organize applications, record communication, schedule interviews, and create a consistent workflow. Employers can search candidate databases by experience or skill, while applicants can receive immediate confirmation that their materials were submitted. These efficiencies matter when recruiters manage large applicant pools or multiple vacancies at the same time.

Reach, however, should not be confused with quality. Receiving thousands of applications does not guarantee a strong shortlist. Employers still need clear job analysis, realistic requirements, and valid selection criteria. A poorly defined vacancy advertised widely may simply produce a larger volume of unsuitable applicants.

Lessons from the Indian IT and Ethiopian Banking Contexts

Research on Indian multinational IT companies highlights the usefulness of corporate career pages, online databases, job portals, agencies, and professional networks. Technology firms often need employees with rapidly changing technical skills, making digital search and communication attractive. Online profiles may also reveal portfolios, publications, certifications, or open-source contributions that are relevant to technical roles.

The Ethiopian banking study illustrates both the value and the limitations of online recruitment in a setting where digital access is uneven. Banks can receive structured applications, maintain auditable records, and communicate with candidates more efficiently, but applicants without reliable internet access, suitable devices, or sufficient digital literacy may be disadvantaged. An online-only process can therefore appear neutral while excluding rural applicants or people who rely on shared connectivity.

These contrasting contexts show that e-recruitment works best when the technology fits the labor market. Mobile-compatible forms, low-bandwidth options, accessible interfaces, and alternative submission routes can broaden participation. Employers should also advertise through the networks where qualified candidates are actually likely to look rather than assuming that one large platform reaches every relevant group.

Screening, Applicant-Tracking Systems, and Automation

An effective e-recruitment process begins with job analysis rather than software. The organization should identify the duties, working conditions, competencies, and genuinely necessary qualifications before selecting screening tools. Initial automation can be useful for confirming objective requirements, identifying incomplete applications, or scheduling interviews. Problems arise when substantive judgments are based on weak proxies such as school name, résumé wording, postal code, employment gaps, or other characteristics only loosely connected with job performance.

Keyword filtering can reject capable applicants whose résumés use unfamiliar terminology. Automated ranking can also create false precision by presenting a score as though it were an objective measure of suitability. Employers remain responsible for the selection procedures they use even when a vendor supplies the system. Human reviewers should understand what the software measures, how errors are handled, and when the system’s recommendation should be challenged.

Structured assessments and interviews can improve consistency when they are linked directly to job requirements. Candidates should receive comparable questions, and scoring criteria should be

defined in advance. Background and reference checks should occur at an appropriate stage and comply with relevant law. The aim is not to automate every stage but to use digital systems where they genuinely improve organization and fairness.

Artificial Intelligence, Bias, and Fairness

Artificial intelligence can assist with candidate matching, chatbot communication, résumé summarization, interview scheduling, assessment scoring, and drafting vacancy descriptions. These capabilities can reduce repetitive work, but they may also reproduce historical patterns contained in the data used to build or configure the system. If previous hiring favored certain groups, a model trained to imitate those decisions may learn similar preferences through indirect signals.

Removing protected characteristics does not automatically eliminate discrimination because other variables may act as proxies. Location, school attended, language, employment gaps, or career history may reflect unequal opportunity. Employers should therefore examine whether selection rates and outcomes differ systematically across relevant groups. A vendor’s statement that a system is unbiased is not sufficient evidence. Organizations need information about validation, data sources, error rates, accessibility, and how decisions can be reviewed.

Human oversight must also be meaningful. A recruiter who simply approves an algorithmic ranking without understanding it does not provide genuine review. Candidates should have a way to request accommodation, correct inaccurate data, and obtain human consideration when automated processes create a substantial barrier.

Privacy, Security, and Candidate Experience

E-recruitment creates large collections of personal information, including contact details, work history, education, assessments, interview recordings, references, and sometimes sensitive demographic or identity data. Employers should collect only information needed for recruitment, explain how it will be used, limit access, and define appropriate retention periods. Keeping rejected applications indefinitely in uncontrolled systems creates unnecessary privacy and security risk.

Online background research also requires caution. A recruiter who examines personal social-media profiles may encounter religion, disability, age, pregnancy, family information, or other characteristics that should not influence hiring. Organizations should define in advance what external information is job-related and apply the same standard consistently.

Candidate experience is part of recruitment quality. A digital process that is fast for the employer but confusing or inaccessible for the applicant can damage the organization’s reputation. Applicants should receive confirmation, realistic timelines, accessible support, and notice when a vacancy has been filled. Remote interviews and assessments should also provide reasonable alternatives when

connection quality, disability, or technology access creates difficulty.

Communication quality matters after rejection as well. Automated systems make it easy to send generic messages, but applicants may judge an employer by whether the process is respectful and transparent. Even when individualized feedback is not practical, clear closure reduces uncertainty and prevents candidates from remaining indefinitely in a system with no indication of status. Employers competing for scarce skills benefit from treating unsuccessful applicants as potential future candidates rather than disposable records.

Advantages and Disadvantages in Practice

The practical advantages of e-recruitment include wider geographic reach, lower administrative cost, faster communication, easier recordkeeping, standardized workflow, and the ability to connect with specialized candidates. Digital career pages can also strengthen employer branding by explaining work culture, benefits, development opportunities, and the recruitment process in greater detail than a short advertisement.

The disadvantages include information overload, digital exclusion, résumé fraud, impersonation, cybersecurity risk, automated rejection errors, depersonalization, and dependence on external platforms. Candidates with stronger digital literacy or professional networks may appear more competitive even when those advantages are unrelated to the job itself. Remote selection can reduce travel but may create new inequalities for applicants with limited privacy, unstable connections, or inaccessible technology.

These trade-offs show why recruitment quality should not be measured only by time to fill or cost per hire. Employers should also examine quality of hire, retention, candidate satisfaction, accessibility, and whether the process produces unjustified disparities.

Responsible E-Recruitment Strategy

Organizations should treat e-recruitment as a governed selection system rather than as a collection of convenient tools. They should define the job first, use accessible application channels, collect only necessary information, validate assessments, monitor automated outcomes, preserve human review, and train recruiters to understand the limitations of technology. Procurement decisions involving hiring software should include human resources, legal, information security, accessibility, and people who understand the actual work being filled.

The strongest systems use technology for tasks it performs well while preserving professional judgment where context matters. Automation can organize applications and reduce clerical work, but responsibility for fairness, legality, and selection quality remains with the employer.

Conclusion

E-recruitment has changed hiring by making candidate search, communication, screening, and recordkeeping faster and more scalable. Studies of Indian IT companies and Ethiopian banks demonstrate both the value of digital reach and the risks created by unequal access and poorly designed systems. Modern recruitment technology adds further questions about artificial intelligence, privacy, bias, accessibility, and accountability. The most effective approach is not to automate as much as possible, but to combine technological efficiency with careful job analysis, transparent criteria, accessible design, validated assessment, and meaningful human oversight. Digital recruitment can improve hiring, but only when the organization remains accountable for the decisions made through it.

References

Equal Employment Opportunity Commission. (2023). *AI and Algorithmic Fairness and the HIRE Initiative*.

Equal Employment Opportunity Commission. *Employment Tests and Selection Procedures*.

Kebede, A. K. (2017). Adoption of e-recruitment in the Ethiopian banking industry. *IBMRD's Journal of Management & Research, 6*(2), 28–36.

Narmadha, M. P., & Nagi, M. S. (2017). A conceptual study on e-recruitment system and its efficiency in Indian MNC companies. *Imperial Journal of Interdisciplinary Research, 3*(8).